SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COU	NTY OF LOS ANG	ELES
DEPARTMENT VEK	HON. MARILYN	MORDETZKY, JUDGE
AUSTIN, GRACE,	PETITIONER,	
-VS-) NO. 19VERO00438
AUSTIN, DALLAS,	RESPONDENT.	ORIGINAL
	<u>f of proceeding</u> April 21, 202	
FOR THE PETITIONER:	SUITE 1425	
FOR THE RESPONDENT:	LAUREN E. MAG ATTORNEY AT I 1801 CENTURY SUITE 2500 LOS ANGELES,	LAW
	LUCY I. MIL OFFICIAL REI	IVOJEVIC, CSR #11496 PORTER

	Ī	NDEX FOR APRI	L 21, 202	22	
	CHRON	OLOGICAL INDE	X OF WITH	<u>NESSES</u>	
RESPOND	<u>ENT'S WITNES</u>	SES: DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
AUSTIN,	DALLAS	30			

1	EXHI	BIT INDEX	
2			
3	PETITIONER'S:	FOR I.D.	IN EVIDENCE
4	3 - NUPTIAL AGREEMENT	15	15
5	4 - JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE	15	15
6	2 - RESPONDENT'S DECLARATION	16	16
7	6 - RESPONDENT'S I&E	17	17
8	7 - RESPONDENT'S I&E	18	18
9	8 - PETITIONER'S DECLARATION	18	18
10	48 - PETITIONER'S DECLARATIO	N 19	19
11	29 - RESPONDENT'S TAX RETURN	1 21	21
12	30 - RESPONDENT'S TAX RETURN	1 21	21
13	1 - TALKING PARENTS	23	23
14	9 - ORDER OF PROTECTION	25	25
15	10 - ORDER OF PROTECTION	25	25
16	16 - PHOTOGRAPHS	26	26
17	17 - TRANSCRIPT	26	26
18	18 - CERTIFICATE	26	26
19	22 - CHART	27	27
20			
21			
22	RESPONDENT'S:	FOR I.D.	IN EVIDENCE
23	511 - DOCUMENT	18	18
24	509 - PETITIONER'S I&E	19	19
25	508 - RESPONDENT'S I&E	20	20
26	507 - RESPONDENT'S TAX RETUR	N 22	22
27	503 - CERTIFICATE	27	27
28	506 - CERTIFICATE	28	28

1	CASE NUMBER:	19VERO00438
2	CASE NAME:	AUSTIN VS. AUSTIN
3	VAN NUYS, CALIFORNIA	THURSDAY, APRIL 21, 2022
4	DEPARTMENT VEK H	HON. MARILYN MORDETZKY
5	COURT REPORTER:	LUCY I. MILIVOJEVIC, CSR #11496
6	TIME:	P.M. SESSION
7		
8	APPEARANCES:	
9	PETITIONER GRACE AUST	IN, PRESENT WITH
10	COUNSEL, ERIC W. MEYER	R, ATTORNEY AT LAW;
11	RESPONDENT DALLAS AUS	IIN, PRESENT WITH
12	COUNSEL, LAUREN E. MAG	CKAY, ATTORNEY AT LAW.
13		
14	THE COURT: NUMBER 10,	THE AUSTIN MATTER,
15	1019VERO00438.	
16	LET'S START OFF W	WITH APPEARANCES, PLEASE.
17	MR. MEYER: GOOD AFTERN	NOON, YOUR HONOR. ERIC MEYER ON
18	BEHALF OF PETITIONER GRACE AU	USTIN WHO IS PRESENT.
19	MS. MACKAY: LAUREN MAG	CKAY HERE ON BEHALF OF
20	RESPONDENT DALLAS AUSTIN WHO	IS PRESENT.
21	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
22	EVERYONE COULD HA	AVE A SEAT.
23	GOOD TO SEE EVERY	YBODY. IT'S BEEN A WHILE.
24	WE HAVE TWO PROCE	EDURES. ONE IS AN RFO FOR
25	MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY AND V	VISITATION FILED BY RESPONDENT
26	ON DECEMBER 16, 2021. AND TH	HEN THERE IS A REQUEST FOR
27	ATTORNEY FEES FILED BY PETIT	IONER ON DECEMBER FIRST
28	STRIKE THAT JANUARY 18TH,	2022.

1 SO WE'LL START WITH THE RFO FIRST AND THEN THE 2 ISSUE OF ATTORNEY FEES WILL TRAIL BEHIND THAT. 3 MR. MEYER: OKAY. JUST A REMINDER THERE WAS AN RFO REGARDING CUSTODY AND CHILD SUPPORT THAT WAS FILED BY 4 5 RESPONDENT. THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I SAID. I INITIALLY SAID 6 7 THAT. 8 MR. MEYER: I DIDN'T HEAR CUSTODY. 9 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 10 MR. MEYER: YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS EVIDENCE 11 PRESENTATION, I BELIEVE THE PREVAILING PARTY, THE ISSUE WILL HAVE SOME CROSS-OVER WITH THE CHILD SUPPORT ISSUE. SO I MAY 12 13 INTRODUCE SOME EVIDENCE THAT IT'S BOTH, AND I IMAGINE WE CAN 14 PROBABLY SUBMIT ON THAT AT THE END. WE WILL SEE WHERE WE 15 ARE. 16 THE COURT: WE WILL SEE. 17 LET'S START WITH CUSTODY AND VISITATION FIRST, WHICH IS RESPONDENT'S RFO THAT WAS FILED ON DECEMBER 16TH, 18 19 2021. 20 ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S DO -- THE FIRST THING THAT WE'LL DO IS THE RFO THAT WAS FILED BY RESPONDENT TO MODIFY 21 22 THE CUSTODY AND VISITATION BEING MARKED AND IDENTIFIED. 23 WELL, BEFORE I DO THAT, YOU GAVE A BOOKLET, 24 MS. MACKAY. 25 MS. MACKAY: YES. 26 THE COURT: AND HOW IS YOUR EVIDENCE MARKED? 27 MS. MACKAY: IT'S MARKED STARTING AT 501. 28 THE COURT: AND DO YOU HAVE MARKED AND IDENTIFIED THAT

REQUEST FOR ORDER? IS IT PART OF YOUR EXHIBITS? 1 2 MS. MACKAY: I DON'T BELIEVE IT IS. THE INCOME AND 3 EXPENSE DECLARATIONS ARE, BUT THE REQUEST FOR ORDER -- LET ME MAKE SURE. 4 THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT IT NOW. 5 6 I'LL MAKE A NOTE AS YOU'RE GOING THROUGH YOUR EVIDENCE. 7 HAVE YOU MARKED AND IDENTIFIED YOUR RESPONSIVE DECLARATION IN ANY OF YOUR EVIDENCE? 8 9 MR. MEYER: I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT MY EXHIBIT LIST. 10 THE DECLARATIONS ARE ALL MARKED. AT LEAST MY CLIENT'S 11 DECLARATION, MR. AUSTIN'S FOR I.D. PURPOSE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO COUNSEL, YOU CAN GO AHEAD 12 AND JUST PROCEED AND I'LL MAKE A NOTE TO THAT. 13 14 MS. MACKAY: SURE. SO BEFORE WE BEGIN, WE WANTED TO 15 FIRST -- I THINK IT WOULD HELP TO CLARIFY WHETHER OR NOT 16 WE'RE GOING TO FIND THAT THE SECTION -- FAMILY CODE SECTION 17 3044 PRESUMPTION APPLIES. THERE ARE TWO RESTRAINING ORDERS 18 IN THIS CASE. SO THERE WAS A RESTRAINING ORDER THAT WAS 19 INITIALLY ORDERED ON MAY 1ST, 2019, AND AFTER THAT 20 RESTRAINING ORDER, THE JUDGE DID FIND THAT 3044 APPLIED. THE COURT: SHE WOULD BECAUSE SHE FOUND DOMESTIC 21 22 VIOLENCE. 23 MS. MACKAY: OF COURSE. AND IN THE FINDINGS SHE DOES MENTION THAT SHE'S TAKING INTO ACCOUNT 3044 AND THEN SHE DID 24 25 ORDER JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY. SO OUR POSITION IS THAT 3044 26 WAS, IN FACT, ALREADY REBUTTED WHEN SHE ORDERED THE JOINT 27 LEGAL CUSTODY ON MAY 1ST, 2019. 28 THE COURT: OKAY.

1 MS. MACKAY: SO THEN THERE WAS A RENEWAL THAT WAS IN FRONT OF YOUR HONOR AND THAT WAS ON AUGUST 25TH, 2021. AND 2 ONCE AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, KEPT THE JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY 3 PROVISION. SO I BELIEVE THAT 3044 HAS ALREADY BEEN REBUTTED 4 5 AND THAT WOULD -- NARROWING THAT SCOPE WOULD REALLY NARROW 6 DOWN THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THE CASE AND I FEEL LIKE HE 7 WON'T HAVE TO RE-LITIGATE SOME OF THESE ISSUES BECAUSE WE 8 ALREADY LITIGATED. 9 THE COURT: TO THE FIRST HEARING WE KNOW WE HAVE THE 10 TRANSCRIPT, AND AS TO THE SECOND HEARING ON THE RENEWAL, I 11 WOULD HAVE HAD TO MAKE A FINDING UNDER 3044. ARE WE SAYING THAT I DIDN'T? 12 13 MR. MEYER: MAY I RESPOND IN TOTALITY, BECAUSE I THINK 14 IT WOULD HELP. 15 THE COURT: YES. MR. MEYER: FIRST OF ALL THE 2019 PROCEEDINGS, JUDGE 16 17 GOULD-SALTMAN DIDN'T CHANGE THE LEGAL CUSTODY ORDER THAT 18 ALREADY EXISTED PER THE NEW YORK ORDERS. THE NEW YORK 19 ORDERS WERE JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY WITH PETITIONER HAVING TIEBREAKER AUTHORITY. SHE DID NOT MODIFY THAT ORDER. 20 21 SHE DID STATE, AS COUNSEL MENTIONED, THAT 3044 22 SHE FOUND WAS REBUTTED FOR PURPOSES OF THAT HEARING AND HER 23 REASON GIVEN FOR THAT, WHICH IS IN THE TRANSCRIPT, IS THAT 24 HER ORDER IS CONSISTENT WITH THE VISITATION THAT WAS OFFERED 25 BY PETITIONER ANYWAY. 26 SO SHE FOUND PETITIONER'S OFFER OF VISITATION 27 BE CONSISTENT WITH THE COURT ORDER REBUTTED 3044. SO OUR 28 POSITION IS OBVIOUSLY THE NEW EVIDENCE RENEWAL WHICH

CONTAINED NEW ACTS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE -- OR NEW ACTS OF - YES, DOMESTIC ABUSE; IN ADDITION JUST RENEWING IT BASED ON
 PAST CONDUCT AND THE FACT THAT THIS PROCEEDING IS WITH
 RESPECT TO RESPONDENT SEEKING JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY AND
 MODIFICATION OF THE JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY.

6 THE TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY ORDER IS THE COURT 7 WOULD AGAIN HAVE TO ASSESS 3044 BECAUSE AT THE RENEWAL HEARING, YOUR HONOR DID NOT HEAR ANY EVIDENCE AND NONE WAS 8 9 SUBMITTED ON THE ISSUE OF CUSTODY BECAUSE IT WAS STRICTLY 10 WITH RESPECT TO IF THE RESTRAINING ORDER WAS GOING TO BE 11 RENEWED. SO OUR POSITION IS THAT EVEN IF IT WAS REBUTTED ON A LIMITED BASIS AS TO THE VISITATION ORDER OF 2019, MR. 12 13 AUSTIN IS NOW SEEKING MORE CUSTODY, A MODIFICATION OF THAT 14 JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY ORDER AS WELL.

15 THERE'S BEEN SEVERAL YEARS OF INCIDENTS AND 16 EVENTS SINCE THEN; SO THE COURT WOULD HAVE TO CONSIDER 17 EVERYTHING THAT'S HAPPENED UNDER 3044, IN LIGHT OF BOTH THE 18 EVIDENCE THAT'S GOING TO BE PRESENTED AS WELL AS 19 MR. AUSTIN'S REQUEST OF THE COURT BECAUSE THAT'S DIFFERENT 20 THAN THE VISITATION THAT WAS GRANTED IN 2019.

21 THE COURT: I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT. I THINK YOU'RE22 RIGHT. LET ME CHECK SOMETHING.

23

24

MS. MACKAY: MAY I PROCEED?

THE COURT: YES. JUST A SECOND.

HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, MS. MACKAY, DO YOU
THINK? I'M NOT GOING INTO YOU -- YOU ALREADY HAVE THE
RESTRAINING ORDER AND RENEWAL AND YOU HAVE THE BENCH OFFICER
THAT HEARD THE RENEWAL, AND I READ ALL THE TALKING PARENTS;

SO I KNOW WHAT I'M DEALING WITH. SO I CAN'T IMAGINE WHERE 1 THAT'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF EVIDENCE.

2

MR. MEYER: IF I CAN MAKE A COMMENT FROM MY SIDE AS 3 FAR AS THE TIMING AND PREPARATION. I BELIEVE MY 4 5 EXAMINATION, CROSS AND DIRECT WILL INCORPORATE TALKING 6 PARENTS MESSAGES AND RELATED MATERIALS; MOSTLY NEWER. SOME 7 WOULD HAVE BEEN PRIOR TO THE RENEWAL, BUT THEY WOULD BE IN THE CONTEXT OF MR. AUSTIN SEEKING JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY. 8 SO 9 ELEMENTS OF CO-PARENTING; IF TIEBREAKING AUTHORITIES SHOULD 10 BE MAINTAINED AND OUR REASONS IT SHOULD; ISSUES AS THEY 11 RELATE TO INCREASING THE CUSTODY PER HIS REQUEST.

SO ALTHOUGH THERE WILL BE SOME OVERLAP IN MY 12 13 EVIDENCE PRESENTATION, IF THE COURT WILL ACCEPT IT, IT'S NOT 14 FROM THE SAME PRISM WITH RESPECT IT WAS INTRODUCED AT THE 15 RENEWAL HEARING. IT'S MORE SO IN THE CONTEXT OF CUSTODY BECAUSE THAT WASN'T HEARD AT THE TIME OF THE RENEWAL. 16

17 THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME SAY THIS. GIVEN WHAT THE 18 RESPONDENT IS REQUESTING, HE IS ASKING THAT THERE NOT BE ANY 19 TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY IN THE LEGAL CUSTODY CONTEXT. THAT'S 20 ONE.

NUMBER TWO, HE'S ASKING NOW FOR ESSENTIALLY 21 EQUAL ACCESS TO THE CHILD. SO THAT'S DIFFERENT. AND IF YOU 22 23 LOOK AT FAMILY CODE SECTION 3044, IT SAYS UPON A FINDING BY 24 THE COURT THAT THE PARTY SEEKING CUSTODY OF CHILD -- BECAUSE 25 THE CUSTODY HERE IS CHANGING -- WELL, IT IS BEING ASKED TO 26 BE CHANGED -- HAS PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE WITHIN THE 27 PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS AGAINST THE OTHER PARTY SEEKING CUSTODY 28 OF THE CHILD OR AGAINST THE CHILD OR THE CHILD'S SIBLING OR

AGAINST A PERSON IN SUBPARAGRAPH (A) OF PARAGRAPH 2 OF SUBDIVISION (A) OF SECTION 301111 WITH WHOM THE PARTY HAS A RELATIONSHIP, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT AN AWARD OF SOLE OR AS HERE JOINT PHYSICAL OR LEGAL CUSTODY OF THE CHILD TO A PERSON WHO HAS PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IS DETRIMENTAL TO THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD.

SO I DO BELIEVE, GIVEN THE FACTS THAT ARE
PRESENTED TO THIS COURT NOW, THAT IT WILL HAVE TO DO SOME
SORT OF 3044 BALANCING.

MS. MACKAY: SURE.

10

11 THE COURT: AND THAT IF THAT BALANCING, IT'S BY A 12 PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE AND NOT A HIGH STANDARD.

13 MS. MACKAY: RIGHT. WHAT WE WERE HOPING IS TO NARROW 14 DOWN THE TIME FRAME FOR WHICH -- BECAUSE ONE OF THE FACTORS 15 IN 3044 IS WHETHER OR NOT HE'S CONTINUED TO PERPETRATE 16 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND THINGS LIKE THAT. THERE'S A DIFFERENT 17 DEFINITION OF PERPETRATING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE THAN THERE IS 18 OF ABUSE IN TERMS OF WHAT WOULD WARRANT A PERMANENT DOMESTIC 19 VIOLENCE RESTRAINING ORDER.

20 THE COURT: WE'RE NOT HERE FOR A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 21 RESTRAINING ORDER.

22 MS. MACKAY: OF COURSE. BUT WHAT WE'RE ASKING IS TO 23 AT LEAST NARROW IT DOWN TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT HE 24 COMMITTED -- PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FOR PURPOSES OF 25 3044 TO AFTER THE LAST ORDER FROM AUGUST 25TH, 2021, AND THE 26 REASON WHY IS JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY -- THE TIEBREAKING 27 AUTHORITY IS TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY WITH A TWIST. IT'S NOT 28 CARTE BLANCH TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY. FIRST, IN ORDER FOR HER

1 TO EXERCISE TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY, THEY NEED TO HIRE A 2 PROFESSIONAL IN THE FIELD OF DISAGREEMENT PAID FOR BY BOTH 3 PARTIES; AND AFTER HIRING A PROFESSIONAL IN THE FIELD AND 4 CONSULTING WITH THAT PROFESSIONAL; IF THEN THEY CANNOT COME 5 TO AN AGREEMENT, THEN SHE'S ABLE TO EXERCISE TIEBREAKING 6 AUTHORITY SUBJECT TO HIS ABILITY TO ALSO MOVE THE COURT.

SO IT'S NOT JUST STRAIGHT TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY.
BUT ALSO SHE WAS REQUESTING -- PETITIONER WAS REQUESTING IN
HER ORIGINAL REQUEST FOR A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING
ORDER FOR SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY WITHOUT TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY
AND THAT WAS NOT ORDERED. THAT WAS DENIED AND THE ORIGINAL
JUDGE FOUND THAT HE HAD REBUTTED THE PRESUMPTION.

OF COURSE THE PRESUMPTION IS EITHER REBUTTED OR IT IS NOT. I'M SURE THE COURT IS AWARE THAT ONCE 3044 APPLIES, THE COURT CANNOT JUST DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THEY'RE GOING TO ORDER JOINT LEGAL WITHOUT ALSO FINDING THE PRESUMPTION HAS BEEN REBUTTED.

18THE COURT: OF COURSE. I FOLLOW WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.19OF COURSE THAT HAPPENED IN THE FIRST HEARING AND WITHOUT20HAVING THE TRANSCRIPT IN FRONT OF ME, I'M ASSUMING I WENT21THROUGH THE 3044 FACTOR. I'M NOT CERTAIN IF I DID, QUITE22FRANKLY. I'M NOT SURE IF I DID.

MR. MEYER: AT THE RENEWAL? I DON'T BELIEVE YOU DID.
THE COURT: I DON'T THINK I DID.
MR. MEYER: THERE WAS NO CUSTODY BEFORE THE COURT.
THE COURT: RIGHT. I DON'T THINK I DID.
MR. MEYER: IT WASN'T REALLY RELEVANT WHETHER OR NOT
THERE WAS GOING TO BE A RENEWAL.

1 THE COURT: THERE WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A REASON FOR ME TO, NOW THAT I THINK OF IT. I'M SURE I DIDN'T. 2 3 MR. MEYER: YOU DID NOT, AS FAR AS I RECALL. THE COURT: NOW I'M THINKING ABOUT IT, I KNOW I DIDN'T 4 5 BECAUSE THERE WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A REASON. 6 I DON'T KNOW IF THIS WAS THE REASON BUT PERHAPS 7 THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE, BUT I DIDN'T DO IT. SO IT 8 APPLIES TODAY. 9 MS. MACKAY: AND SO WOULD THIS APPLY -- THEN IT WOULD 10 APPLY POST MAY 1ST, 2019, WHICH I THINK IT WOULD BE JUST 11 EFFICIENT BECAUSE --THE COURT: IT'S NOT GOING TO GO BACK TO BEFORE JUDGE 12 13 SALTMAN. IT'S NOT GOING TO GO BEFORE THEM -- THE 3044 14 BALANCE AFTER THE FIRST RESTRAINING ORDER. WHEN THE RENEWAL 15 CAME ABOUT -- AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TRANSCRIPT IN THE RENEWAL, I MENTIONED A LOT ABOUT IF YOU'RE SEEKING A CHANGE 16 17 IN CUSTODY, THEN THAT'S SOMETHING ELSE BEFORE THE COURT, NOT A RENEWAL. AND THE CUSTODY WAS JUST -- OR THE VISITATION 18 WAS JUST BASICALLY STAYING THE SAME. I THINK IT STAYED THE 19 20 SAME. DID IT STAY THE SAME? 21 22 MR. MEYER: THE CUSTODY? 23 THE COURT: YES. 24 MR. MEYER: IT WASN'T TRIED. THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE 25 PRESENTED; NO ONE WAS SEEKING ANY MODIFICATION AFTER 26 RENEWAL. 27 THE COURT: OKAY. 28 MS. MACKAY: AND YEAH, SINCE THE STANDARD IS DIFFERENT

FOR RENEWAL THAN IT IS TO ORDER A RESTRAINING ORDER IN THE 1 2 FIRST PLACE, THE COURT HAD NOT FOUND THAT HE HAD PERPETRATED 3 OR DIDN'T NEED TO FIND THAT HE HAD PERPETRATED ADDITIONAL ACTS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE BECAUSE IT'S PARTICULARLY NOT 4 5 REQUIRED IN THE CODE. 6 MR. MEYER: I AGREE IT'S NOT REQUIRED TO ORDER 7 RENEWAL. I BELIEVE THE FINDINGS OF THE COURT IN THE TRANSCRIPT DID FIND FURTHER ACTS OF ABUSE. 8 9 THE COURT: I DID. 10 MR. MEYER: AND WE LODGED THE TRANSCRIPT. 11 THE COURT: I KNOW THAT YOU LODGED THE FIRST TRANSCRIPT. DID YOU LODGE MINE AS WELL? 12 MR. MEYER: YES. AND WE INTENDED -- IT'S ON OUR 13 EXHIBIT LIST WE INTRODUCED. 14 THE COURT: THEN WE COULD LOOK AND SEE IF I BALANCED 15 ANY 3044, BUT I DON'T EVEN NEED TO LOOK BECAUSE I KNOW I 16 17 DIDN'T. IT WOULD CLEARLY APPLY HERE BECAUSE IT'S A CHANGE. 18 WHAT I'M THINKING I'M HEARING, MS. MACKAY, IS 19 THAT YOU'RE WORRIED ABOUT SOME TIMELINE. ARE YOU WORRIED 20 THAT NEW ALLEGATIONS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ARE GOING TO BE 21 PRESENTED TODAY? 22 MS. MACKAY: NO. I'M JUST WORRIED ABOUT RE-LITIGATING 23 THINGS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN LITIGATED IN THE PAST. 24 THE COURT: I'LL MAKE SURE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN. 25 MS. MACKAY: AND I READ THE TRANSCRIPT AND I KNOW THAT 26 THE COURT WAS ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT MOVING FORWARD, AND TO 27 NOTE BEING STUCK IN THE PAST, AND I THINK THAT WE'RE READY TO DO THAT HERE. 28

1	
1	SO I JUST WANTED TO AND I JUST THINK THAT IT
2	WILL REDUCE THE TIME NEEDED IF WE DON'T HAVE TO GO BACK SO
3	FAR.
4	SECONDLY, WHEN WE ARE DISCUSSING 3044, AND
5	WHETHER OR NOT
6	THE COURT: WE'RE NOT THERE YET, THOUGH. THAT WILL BE
7	AT THE END; SO WHY DON'T WE JUST WORRY ABOUT IT WHEN WE GET
8	TO THE END.
9	MS. MACKAY: WORRY ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT 3044 APPLIES?
10	THE COURT: NO. 3044 IS GOING TO APPLY.
11	MS. MACKAY: YES.
12	THE COURT: RIGHT. I'M GOING TO HAVE TO BALANCE THE
13	FACTORS BECAUSE IT'S A REQUEST FOR A CHANGE IN CUSTODY. I
14	JUST READ THAT FIRST PARAGRAPH.
15	MS. MACKAY: YES.
16	THE COURT: AND I NEVER DID IT IN THE RENEWAL.
17	MS. MACKAY: SO IN 3044, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT
18	OUT A SMALL NUANCE IN THE STANDARD FOR DETERMINING WHETHER
19	SOMEBODY HAS PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FOR 3044 TO APPLY
20	WHICH IT DOES BUT SINCE YOUR HONOR IS ORDERING THAT.
21	BUT I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE THAT FOR PURPOSES OF 3044, DOMESTIC
22	VIOLENCE OR YEAH, PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IS
23	DEFINED IN 3044, SUBDIVISION I BELIEVE IT'S G OR F. AND
24	IN THAT SUBDIVISION AND THERE'S A CASE THAT DISCUSSES IT
25	TOO THAT WE BRIEFED IN THE MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
26	AUTHORITIES THAT I'D BE HAPPY TO RE-ITERATE, IF NECESSARY,
27	BUT THE STANDARD IS LOWER FOR DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT
28	SOMEBODY HAS PERPETRATED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE UNDER 3044, WHICH

I THINK IS RELEVANT TO THIS CASE BECAUSE IT IS WHETHER OR
 NOT SOMEBODY HAS PERPETRATED ABUSE THAT WOULD WARRANT AN
 ORIGINAL TRO, AND I THINK WITH THIS CASE A LOT OF WHAT WAS
 DEFINED AS ABUSE IN 63 -- WE'RE LOOKING AT 6320 AND 5302.

SO IN 6320 DEFINES WHAT ABUSE IS.

5

16

6 THE COURT: I KNOW. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ABUSE VERSUS 7 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

MS. MACKAY: AND IN 6203, A TECHNICAL VIOLATION OF A 8 9 RESTRAINING ORDER CAN OUALIFY AS ABUSE TO REINSTATE A 10 RESTRAINING ORDER. AND I THINK THAT WHEN WE'RE DETERMINING 11 WHETHER OR NOT THE ABUSE IS EGREGIOUS ENOUGH TO DETERMINE THAT HE COULD NOT POSSIBLY BE GRANTED THE CHANGE IN CUSTODY, 12 I THINK THAT WE NEED TO KEEP AN EYE OUT FOR THE FACT THAT 13 14 THE UNDERLYING BEHAVIOR NEEDS TO BE ABUSIVE IN ITSELF RATHER 15 THAN A TECHNICAL VIOLATION OF THE TRO.

THE COURT: SO WHERE ARE WE GOING WITH ALL THIS?

MS. MACKAY: I JUST WANT TO KEEP THAT IN MIND BECAUSE
I KNOW THAT A LOT OF THE ABUSE THAT HE HAS BEEN ACCUSED OF
WOULD NOT NECESSARILY QUALIFY AS ABUSE IF SOMEBODY WERE
COMING TO GET A TRO AND WEREN'T ALREADY ENJOINED.

THE COURT: SO YOUR ANTICIPATING I'M GOING TO BE
HEARING FACTS IN RELATIONSHIP TO ABUSE THAT HASN'T BEEN -IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TELLING THE COURT? YOU THINK TESTIMONY
IS GOING TO COME FORWARD THAT THERE HAS BEEN CONTINUED
ABUSE. LET'S USE THAT WORD.

26 MS. MACKAY: CONTINUED ABUSE IN THAT THE ARGUMENTS OR 27 THAT HE HAS VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE RESTRAINING ORDER BY 28 HAVING DISCUSSION OUTSIDE OF THE EXCEPTION, RIGHT. HOWEVER,

1 IN ORDER TO GET A TRO, WE WOULD LOOK AT THAT UNDERLYING 2 BEHAVIOR AND WHETHER THE PETITIONING PARTY WOULD BE ABLE TO 3 PETITION THE COURT A REQUEST. THE COURT: I'M AWARE OF THAT. I'M AWARE OF THAT. 4 MS. MACKAY: OKAY. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. 5 6 THE COURT: YES. AND I KIND OF GATHERED THAT FROM 7 THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE COURT SUCH AS THE 8 TALKING PARENTS. 9 MR. MEYER: MAY I RESPOND BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR? 10 THE COURT: DO YOU NEED TO? 11 MR. MEYER: I THINK SO, JUST FOR THE RECORD. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. 13 MR. MEYER: VERY BRIEFLY. 14 BASED ON THE TRANSCRIPTS IN YOUR HONOR'S 15 FINDINGS AND ORDERS AT THE RENEWAL HEARING, THERE WERE NO FINDINGS AND ORDERS THAT THE RENEWAL WAS BASED ON A MERE 16 17 TECHNICAL VIOLATION, AND IT'S OUR POSITION THAT THE BASIS 18 FOR THE RENEWAL, AS LEAST IN PART, OF FURTHER ACTS OF ABUSE 19 WERE AND WOULD QUALIFY FOR ACTS OF ABUSE IF SOUGHT IN THE 20 ORIGINAL DVRO. SO I DON'T ACCEPT THAT. THE COURT: THAT'S YOUR POSITION. 21 22 MR. MEYER: EXACTLY. WE COULD ARGUE THAT AT CLOSING. 23 THE COURT: RIGHT. THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE. SO LET'S 24 GET STARTED. 25 MR. MEYER: A COUPLE PROCEDURAL THINGS, YOUR HONOR. 26 FIRST, DOES YOUR HONOR WANT OPENING STATEMENTS? 27 THE COURT: NO. I'M FAMILIAR WITH THIS CASE. 28 MR. MEYER: I'M JUST ASKING. MOVING ON FROM THAT, I

1	WAS WONDERING IF, AS FAR AS TAILORING OUR EXAMINATIONS TO
2	REDUCE TRIAL TIME, IF COUNSEL WOULD AGREE AND THE COURT
3	WOULD ACCEPT THAT THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO THE ADMISSIBILITY
4	OF THE PARTY DECLARATIONS, RESPONSES, INITIAL REPLIES IN
5	CONNECTION WITH BOTH RFO'S.
6	THE COURT: THAT'S WHY I ASKED, BECAUSE THEY WERE
7	MARKED AS YOUR EXHIBITS.
8	MR. MEYER: THE DECLARATIONS HAVE.
9	THE COURT: THE DECLARATIONS HAVE.
10	MR. MEYER: CORRECT.
11	THE COURT: YES, OF COURSE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO
12	REITERATE WHAT'S IN THE REQUEST THE DECLARATION AND
13	REQUEST FOR THE ORDER. YOUR CLIENT'S REPLY IN HER
14	DECLARATION, SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO REITERATE THAT AT ALL.
15	MR. MEYER: DO YOU WANT ME TO GO THROUGH THE NUMBERS
16	OF THOSE SO IT'S CLEAR.
17	THE COURT: I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, YES.
18	MR. MEYER: I HAVE IT SET UP HERE.
19	THE COURT: JUST SO THAT WE CAN GET THOSE MARKED IN
20	ALREADY.
21	MS. MACKAY: COUNSEL AND I STIPULATED TO THE
22	ADMISSIBILITY OF THE MARITAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT SIGNED IN
23	NEW YORK AND JUDGMENT SIGNED IN NEW YORK FOR BOTH OF THOSE
24	AS EXHIBITS.
25	MR. MEYER: THOSE ARE THREE AND FOUR IN MY EXHIBIT
26	NOTEBOOK, IF YOU WANT TO USE THOSE NUMBERS.
27	THE COURT: OKAY. EXHIBIT 3 IS WHAT?
28	MR. MEYER: EXHIBIT 3 IS THE NEW YORK POST-NUPTIAL

AGREEMENT AND DIVORCE. AND JUST FOR THE RECORD, IN NEW YORK 1 2 THEY CALL, FOR WHATEVER REASON, THE DIVORCE AGREEMENTS 3 POST-NUPTIAL AGREEMENTS. THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION TO THAT COMING INTO 4 5 EVIDENCE? 6 MS. MACKAY: NO. 7 THE COURT: OKAY. IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 8 9 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 10 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3.) 11 12 THE COURT: NEXT. 13 MR. MEYER: NUMBER 4 IS THE NEW YORK JUDGMENT OF 14 DIVORCE. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION? MS. MACKAY: NO. 16 17 THE COURT: THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 18 19 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 20 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 4.) 21 22 MR. MEYER: I'LL NOTE FOR THE DECLARATIONS NUMBER 2 OF 23 MINE I'LL AGREE FOR IT TO BE ADMITTED RESPONDENT'S NOVEMBER 24 3RD, 2021, DECLARATION, WHICH IS THE MOVING DECLARATION OF 25 CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT RFO. 26 THE COURT: HANG ON ONE SECOND. NOT NOVEMBER THIRD, 27 HIS RFO. 28 MR. MEYER: I SAID NOVEMBER 30TH.

1	THE COURT: NOT EVEN NOVEMBER 30TH. I HAVE
2	DECEMBER 16TH.
3	MR. MEYER: THAT MIGHT BE WHEN IT WAS FILED. I WENT
4	OFF SIGNATURE DATES.
5	THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING BY THE DECLARATION DATE.
6	MR. MEYER: YES.
7	THE COURT: SO IT'S HIS DECLARATION OF NOVEMBER 30TH,
8	2021, WHICH IS CONTAINED IN HIS RFO OF DECEMBER 16TH, 2021.
9	MR. MEYER: CORRECT.
10	THE COURT: THAT IS MARKED AS EXHIBIT 2.
11	MR. MEYER: YES.
12	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
13	MS. MACKAY: THIS IS
14	THE COURT: HIS DECLARATION IN HIS RFO.
15	MS. MACKAY: YES, THAT'S FINE.
16	THE COURT: IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE.
17	
18	(MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE,
19	PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 2.)
20	
21	MR. MEYER: AND WE WANT TO DO THE INCOME AND EXPENSE
22	DECLARATIONS AS WELL.
23	THE COURT: MIGHT AS WELL.
24	MR. MEYER: NUMBER 6 IS RESPONDENT'S DECEMBER 13,
25	2021, INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION.
26	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION?
27	MS. MACKAY: WELL, THAT'S NOT THE MOST RECENT INCOME
28	AND EXPENSE DECLARATION.

1	MR. MEYER: I THINK IT'S THE ERRATA WAS THE MOST
2	RECENT ONE.
3	MS. MACKAY: NO. IT WAS ON THE ONE PRIOR TO MOST
4	RECENT ONE.
5	MR. MEYER: I'M OKAY IF YOU WANT TO MARK THE ERRATA AS
6	WELL FOR COMPLETENESS.
7	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO NUMBER 6 WHICH IS THE
8	DECEMBER 13TH ONE?
9	MS. MACKAY: NO. SO LONG AS WE'RE ALSO ALLOWING THE
10	ERRATA IN AS WELL.
11	MR. MEYER: THAT'S FINE.
12	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE DATE OF THAT ONE?
13	SIX IS MOVED INTO EVIDENCE.
14	
15	(MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE,
16	PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 6.)
17	
18	THE COURT: THIS IS RESPONDENT'S 511. EXHIBIT 511.
19	ANY OBJECTION TO EXHIBIT 511
20	MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION.
21	THE COURT: BY RESPONDENT?
22	MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION.
23	THE COURT: JUST FOR PURPOSES OF CLARIFICATION, 2, 3,
24	4, AND 6 ARE PETITIONER'S.
25	MR. MEYER: EXHIBITS, YES.
26	THE COURT: 511 OF RESPONDENT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE.
27	
28	

1 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 2 RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 511.) 3 4 MR. MEYER: SEVEN IS RESPONDENT'S APRIL 8, 2022, 5 INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION. 6 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 7 MS. MACKAY: NO. THE COURT: THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 8 9 10 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 11 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 7.) 12 13 MR. MEYER: NUMBER 8 IS PETITIONER'S JANUARY 18, 2022 14 DECLARATION. 15 THE COURT: PETITIONER'S, RIGHT? 16 MR. MEYER: YES. AND THIS IS THE RESPONSE. 17 THE COURT: IS THAT THE RESPONSE? 18 MR. MEYER: THAT'S THE RESPONSE. 19 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 20 MS. MACKAY: NO. 21 22 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 8.) 23 24 MR. MEYER: AND THEN 48 IS PETITIONER'S DECLARATION IN 25 26 SUPPORT OF PREVAILING PARTY RFO. I KNOW WE'RE WAITING ON 27 THAT, BUT IF THE COURT WANTS ME TO RESERVE, I WILL. THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO MOVE THAT INTO EVIDENCE? 28

1	MS. MACKAY: NO.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE.
3	
4	(MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE,
5	PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 48.)
6	
7	MR. MEYER: AND THEN I DON'T HAVE PREMARKED SO I COULD
8	DO MY NEXT IN ORDER IS 55, WHICH WOULD BE PETITIONER'S
9	INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION THAT WAS JUST FILED LAST
10	WEEK. I DON'T HAVE THE DATE ON THAT.
11	MS. MACKAY: I HAVE IT AS OUR EXHIBIT 509; SO WE COULD
12	USE
13	MR. MEYER: THAT'S FINE. 509. I TRUST THAT.
14	THE COURT: SO 509 IS MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. THAT'S
15	RESPONDENT'S?
16	MR. MEYER: YES.
17	THE COURT: OKAY.
18	
19	(MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE,
20	RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 509.)
21	
22	MR. MEYER: THAT'S ALL THE I AND E'S THAT I HAVE.
23	THE COURT: THAT'S PETITIONER'S?
24	MR. MEYER: YES.
25	THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE IT INTO MY SYSTEM YET. I
26	HAVE RESPONDENT'S THAT'S APRIL 8TH, BUT IF YOU FILED IT LAST
27	WEEK
28	MR. MEYER: YUP.

1 THE COURT: OH, NO. I'M SO SORRY. IT'S APRIL 14TH. 2 SO APRIL 14TH IS 509. 3 MR. MEYER: YES. LAUREN, DO YOU HAVE THE INCOME AND EXPENSE 4 DECLARATION THAT PETITIONER FILED IN JANUARY BECAUSE I DON'T 5 6 SEE THAT ON MY LIST? 7 MS. MACKAY: PETITIONER'S JANUARY 18TH, 2021 IS RESPONDENT'S 508. 8 9 MR. MEYER: SO I WOULD ASK THAT --10 THE COURT: BUT WE HAVE IT AS PETITIONER'S 8. 11 MR. MEYER: NO. THAT WAS DECLARATION, NOT INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. SO 508? 13 14 MS. MACKAY: YES. 15 MR. MEYER: YES. 508. THE COURT: RESPONDENT 508 IS THE INCOME AND EXPENSE 16 17 DECLARATION THAT WAS FILED ON JANUARY 18TH? 18 MR. MEYER: YES. 19 THE COURT: IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 20 21 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 508.) 22 23 MR. MEYER: THAT'S ALL THE I AND E'S AND DECLARATIONS 24 25 I HAVE AS FAR AS MY EXHIBITS. 26 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 27 MS. MACKAY: WHAT ABOUT RESPONDENT 2021 FEDERAL INCOME 28 TAX RETURN? STIPULATE TO THAT?

1 MR. MEYER: I'M HAPPY TO STIPULATE TO ALL TAX RETURNS. 2 I HAVE MORE THAN ONE. SO I HAVE MR. AUSTIN'S 2019 THROUGH 3 2021 -- OR SORRY. I DON'T HAVE HIS 2021 BECAUSE THAT WAS JUST SENT WITH YOUR EXHIBITS THAT I'VE SEEN BEFORE, BUT I 4 HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT. 5 I ALSO ASK THAT HIS 2019 AND '20 RETURNS WOULD 6 7 BE ADMITTED, AND THAT'S 29 AND 30 IN MY BOOK. THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO, IF YOU BOTH ARE 8 9 AGREEING TO MOVE 29 AND 30 WHICH DEAL WITH TAX RETURNS INTO 10 EVIDENCE. 11 MR. MEYER: SO 29 IS MR. AUSTIN'S 2019 TAX RETURN; 30 12 IS HIS 2020. 13 MS. MACKAY: 2021 WOULD BE RESPONDENTS' EXHIBIT 507. 14 THE COURT: OKAY. SO TO RECAP, PETITIONER'S 29 AND 15 30, ANY OBJECTION TO IT BE MOVED INTO EVIDENCE? 16 MR. MEYER: NO. 17 THE COURT: THAT'S 2019 AND 2020 TAX RETURNS BY 18 RESPONDENT. 19 20 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS 29 AND 30.) 21 22 23 THE COURT: RETURNS BY RESPONDENT. ANY OBJECTION TO 507 WHICH IS 2021 RESPONDENT'S TAX RETURNS? 24 25 MR. MEYER: NONE FROM ME. 26 THE COURT: SO THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 27 28

1 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 2 RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 507.) 3 MR. MEYER: AND THEN I NOTE THAT PETITIONER'S TAX 4 5 RETURNS AREN'T SEPARATELY MARKED ON MY EXHIBIT LIST. THEY 6 ARE ATTACHED TO THE INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATIONS; SO IT'S 7 ACCEPTABLE THAT WOULD JUST BE INCORPORATED; THAT WOULD INCLUDE HER 2020 AND 2021 RETURNS. 8 9 THE COURT: THE TAX RETURNS THAT ARE ATTACHED TO 10 PETITIONER'S INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION THAT HAVE 11 ALREADY BEEN MARKED AND IDENTIFIED AND MOVED INTO EVIDENCE, 12 ANY OBJECTION TO THOSE TAX RETURNS COMING IN? MS. MACKAY: NO OBJECTION. 13 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 MS. MACKAY: AND WE BOTH TURNED IN A GIRTH OF TALKING PARENTS MESSAGES BETWEEN THE PARTIES. 16 17 WOULD YOU STIPULATE TO HAVING OUR 513 COME IN, 18 BECAUSE I THINK THAT MY CLIENT GENERATED THEM A LITTLE LATER 19 AND THEY ARE CLEAN COPIES WITHOUT ANY HIGHLIGHTS OR NOTES. 20 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION TO THAT, BUT I WILL JUST SAY 21 FOR PURPOSES OF MY EVIDENCE PRESENTATION, I HAVE NOTES TO 22 MYSELF WHAT PAGES TO LOOK FOR SO I DON'T HAVE THE PAGINATION 23 CHANGES WHEN MESSAGES FOLLOW CHAINS. SO I MIGHT REQUEST TO 24 SUBMIT THEM BOTH AND THEN I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO YOUR 25 HONOR JUST USING ONE OF THEM, WHICHEVER YOU PREFER FOR 26 REFERENCE, BUT IT MIGHT BE COMPLICATED JUST USING 27 MR. AUSTIN'S VERSION AS FAR AS EXAMINATION. 28 THE COURT: I THINK THE QUESTION IS ARE WE GOING TO

1	MOVE TALKING PARENTS INTO EVIDENCE AND I'M SURE WE ARE.
2	WHICH ONE IS THE RESPONDENT'S TALKING PARENTS
3	ONE?
4	MS. MACKAY: RESPONDENT'S IS 513.
5	THE COURT: OKAY. AND IS THERE A TIME FRAME FOR THESE
6	TALKING PARENTS?
7	MS. MACKAY: THERE IS. WE CAN LIMIT THIS IF WE NEED
8	TO, BUT IT'S FROM MAY 2019 TO APRIL 2022.
9	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THAT BEING MOVED INTO
10	EVIDENCE?
11	MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION.
12	THE COURT: AND WHAT'S PETITIONER'S TALKING PARENTS?
13	MR. MEYER: PETITIONER'S 1.
14	THE COURT: EXHIBIT 1?
15	MR. MEYER: EXHIBIT 1.
16	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THAT COMING INTO
17	EVIDENCE?
18	MS. MACKAY: NO OBJECTION.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. IT'S MOVE INTO EVIDENCE.
20	
21	(MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE,
22	PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 1.)
23	
24	MR. MEYER: AS FAR AS I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE IN SOME
25	PRIOR ORDERS AND THEN I ALSO FILED A REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL
26	NOTICE.
27	THE COURT: I SAW THAT.
28	MR. MEYER: SO I GUESS I COULD SKIP THE EXHIBITS IF

Г

1	
1	THE COURT TAKES JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE MAY 16, 2019,
2	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING ORDER AND THEN THE RENEWAL
3	FROM AUGUST 2021.
4	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THE COURT TAKING JUDICIAL
5	NOTICE OF THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS, THE RENEWAL AND THE INITIAL
6	RESTRAINING ORDER?
7	MS. MACKAY: NO.
8	THE COURT: YOU HAD SOME HESITATION.
9	MS. MACKAY: I THOUGHT THAT WE ALREADY HAD THEM ON
10	OURS ANYWAY, BUT IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE WE DID. ANOTHER THING
11	THAT I THINK THAT WE COULD STIPULATE TO NOW IS
12	THE COURT: HOLD ON A SECOND.
13	I'M LOOKING AT THE JUDICIAL NOTICE. JUST GIVE
14	ME A SECOND. SO THE RESTRAINING ORDER INITIAL
15	RESTRAINING ORDER WAS MAY 16TH, 2019, THAT THE COURT WILL
16	TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THAT DOCUMENT AND ITS ATTACHMENTS.
17	THERE'S A LOT OF ATTACHMENTS TO IT, AS WELL AS THIS COURT'S
18	RENEWAL OF THAT DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING ORDER ON
19	AUGUST 25TH, 2021.
20	MR. MEYER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
21	AND THEN SEPARATELY I DON'T HAVE A REQUEST FOR
22	JUDICIAL NOTICE. I JUST HAVE THEM AS EXHIBITS. OUR EXHIBIT
23	9 IS THE MARCH 1, 2018, NEW YORK ORDER OF PROTECTION.
24	WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT IN.
25	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
26	MS. MACKAY: I HAVE TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT CONTAINS
27	A DECLARATION.
28	THE COURT: I WASN'T SURE IF SHE WAS TALKING TO HER

1 CLIENT OR TO THE COURT. 2 ARE YOU AGREEING TO MOVE IT INTO EVIDENCE? 3 MS. MACKAY: YES. THE COURT: NUMBER 9 IS MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. THAT'S 4 NEW YORK ORDER FOR PROTECTION. 5 6 7 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 9.) 8 9 10 THE COURT: AND THE NEXT ONE? 11 MR. MEYER: NUMBER 10 IS THE JUNE 6, 2018, NEW YORK 12 ORDER OF PROTECTION. THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 13 14 MS. MACKAY: THERE MAY BE. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MS. MACKAY: NO OBJECTION. 17 THE COURT: THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 18 19 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 20 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 10.) 21 22 MR. MEYER: THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR REQUEST FOR PREMARKED. THE OTHERS WE CAN HANDLE THROUGH EXAMINATION, 23 24 UNLESS MS. MACKAY HAS OTHERS SHE WANTS TO PRODUCE. 25 MS. MACKAY: I THINK THERE ARE A COUPLE THAT WE COULD 26 AGREE TO SPEED THINGS ALONG. 27 MR. MEYER: SURE. MS. MACKAY: PETITIONER EXHIBIT 16, THE PHOTOGRAPHS OF 28

THE FACE-TIME SESSIONS. 1 2 THE COURT: WHAT IS 16 AGAIN? 3 MS. MACKAY: IT'S PETITIONER'S 16, PHOTOGRAPHS OF FACE-TIME SESSIONS BETWEEN RESPONDENT AND THE CHILD. 4 5 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. 6 THE COURT: IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 7 8 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 9 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 16.) 10 11 MS. MACKAY: PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 17 WHICH IS A 12 TRANSCRIPT OF A RECORDING IN DALLAS' VEHICLE ON 13 NOVEMBER 20TH, 2020. MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. 14 15 16 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 17 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 17.) 18 19 THE COURT: PETITIONER'S 18 WHICH IS DALLAS AUSTIN'S 20 COPARENTING CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION. 21 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 23 24 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 25 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 18.) 26 27 THE COURT: SIXTEEN AND 17 ARE YOURS, RIGHT? 28 MR. MEYER: THOSE ARE PETITIONERS.

THE COURT: SO 16, 17 AND 18 ARE PETITIONER'S. RIGHT? 1 2 MS. MACKAY: YES. 3 THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU STARED WITH 500? MS. MACKAY: YES. PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 22. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT IS IT? 5 6 MS. MACKAY: IT'S A CHART REGARDING CHILDCARE COST 7 ARREARAGES. MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. 8 9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 10 11 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 12 PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 22.) 13 14 MS. MACKAY: AND WOULD PETITIONER STIPULATE TO 15 ADMITTING RESPONDENT EXHIBIT 501 WHICH IS A PARENTING CLASS 16 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION ON NOVEMBER 12TH 2019? 17 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. MS. MACKAY: I'M SORRY. YOU ALREADY ADMITTED THAT 18 19 ONE. IT WOULD BE RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 503. 20 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION. MS. MACKAY: WHICH IS A PARENTING CLASS CERTIFICATE OF 21 22 COMPLETION FROM NOVEMBER 23RD, 2021. 23 MR. MEYER: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: WHICH IS 503. 25 MS. MACKAY: YES. 26 27 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 28 RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 503.)

THE COURT: I DIDN'T HAVE A 501. YOU SAID IT WAS 1 2 ALREADY ADMITTED. 3 MR. MEYER: ON OUR LIST IT WAS ADMITTED. WE EACH HAVE IT ON OUR EXHIBIT LIST. SO RESPONDENT'S 501 WOULD BE 4 5 PETITIONER'S 18. 6 THE COURT: OH, OKAY. THAT'S RESPONDENT. 7 MS. MACKAY: WHAT ABOUT RESPONDENT'S 506, OUR CHILDREN FIRST CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION. 8 9 MR. MEYER: THAT'S FINE. 10 THE COURT: 506 IS MY CHILDREN FIRST COMPLETION BY 11 RESPONDENT. 12 MS. MACKAY: DATED SEPTEMBER 26TH, 2021. 13 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THAT BEING MOVED INTO 14 EVIDENCE? 15 MR. MEYER: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT'S MOVED INTO EVIDENCE. 16 17 THAT'S 506 OF RESPONDENT. 18 19 (MARKED AND RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, 20 RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 506.) 21 22 MS. MACKAY: I THINK THAT SHOULD DO IT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO WITH THAT BEING MOVED IN, HOW 23 24 ARE WE PROCEEDING? HOW ARE WE GOING FIRST, SINCE IT'S YOUR 25 RFO? 26 MS. MACKAY: YES. WE'LL CALL RESPONDENT DALLAS AUSTIN 27 FIRST. 28 THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE DON'T FORGET, LET'S HAVE BOTH

1 PARTIES RAISE THEIR RIGHT HAND AND BE SWORN IN. 2 THE CLERK: PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND. 3 DO YOU EACH OF YOU SOLEMNLY STATE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW 4 5 PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE 6 TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH? 7 THE PETITIONER: YES. 8 THE RESPONDENT: I DO. 9 THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND TAKE THE STAND. 10 MR. MEYER: YOUR HONOR, JUST A COUPLE OTHER PROCEDURAL 11 ISSUES I JUST NOTE THAT THE COURT ORDER WAS TO EXCHANGE EXHIBIT LISTS AND EXHIBITS ONE WEEK PRIOR TO TODAY, LAST 12 13 THURSDAY. I RECEIVED RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS AND EXHIBIT LIST 14 AFTER HOURS ON MONDAY NIGHT. OF COURSE I'M NOT OBJECTING TO 15 THEM ADMITTING EXHIBITS. I JUST WENT THROUGH MANY THAT WE 16 AGREED TO, BUT AS THOSE COME UP, I MAY RAISE THAT OBJECTION 17 AS THEY COME UP. I JUST WANT TO INFORM THE COURT AND ALSO 18 WE HAVE EXHIBIT BOOKS TO DISTRIBUTE TO THE COURT AND 19 WITNESS. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT ME TO DO THAT NOW OR WAIT 20 UNTIL CROSS-EXAMINATION. THE COURT: WHENEVER THEY'RE GOING TO COME UP BECAUSE 21 22 I'VE GOT A LOT OF BOOKS UP HERE ALREADY. 23 MR. MEYER: IF WE ADMITTED THEM, MAYBE IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE COURT TO HAVE THEM. 24 25 THE COURT: I WILL, BUT WE DON'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT 26 THAT RIGHT NOW. IF IT BECOMES AN ISSUE, I WILL LET YOU 27 KNOW. 28 MS. MACKAY: FOR THE RECORD, THE MINUTE ORDER THAT WE

1 HAVE FROM THE LAST MINUTE ORDER WHERE THIS FOUR-DAY LONG 2 CAUSE HEARING WAS SCHEDULED -- I DON'T SEE THAT IT SAYS THAT 3 WE ARE SUPPOSED TO -- IT JUST SAYS THAT WE ARE JUST SUPPOSED TO COMPLY WITH CALIFORNIA RULES. 4 THE COURT: WELL, DO WE NEED TO WORRY ABOUT THAT RIGHT 5 6 NOW? I WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME TESTIMONY GOING. 7 MS. MACKAY: SURE. THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE MY TRANSCRIPT IF I SAID THAT. 8 9 SO LET'S LET THE RESPONDENT TAKE THE STAND. 10 11 DALLAS AUSTIN, 12 THE RESPONDENT HEREIN, HAVING BEEN FIRST 13 DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED 14 AS FOLLOWS: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. MACKAY: 18 Q HELLO, MR. AUSTIN. DO YOU MIND IF I CALL YOU 19 DALLAS? 20 A YES. OR NO, I DON'T MIND. 21 THE COURT: YOU DON'T MIND. RIGHT? 22 THE RESPONDENT: I DON'T MIND. 23 BY MS. MACKAY: 24 Q CAN YOU STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD? 25 IT'S DALLAS KENNETH AUSTIN. А 26 MS. MACKAY: I THINK WE COULD SKIP OVER SOME OF THE 27 FOUNDATION. WE KNOW THAT THESE PARTIES USED TO BE MARRIED 28 AND THEY HAVE SINCE BEEN DIVORCED. THEY HAVE A SMALL CHILD,

MADELYN, WHO'S FIVE YEARS OLD. AND JUDGMENT WAS ENTERED IN 1 2 NEW YORK. 3 THE COURT: AND WE HAVE THAT ALL IN DECLARATIONS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN ADMITTED. SO ALL THE FOUNDATIONAL ASPECTS 4 OF THIS CASE IS KNOWN TO THE COURT AND THEY HAVE ALREADY 5 6 BEEN ADMITTED. 7 MS. MACKAY: OKAY. GREAT. O SO WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT CHILD CUSTODY AND 8 9 VISITATION SCHEDULE WITH MADELYN NOW? 10 A I HAVE THE FIRST, THIRD AND FIFTH WEEKENDS ON ODD MONTHS AND THEN WEDNESDAY AFTERNOONS. 11 AND WHEN WAS THAT SCHEDULE ORDERED? 12 Q 13 А MAY 1ST, 2019. 14 Q AND PRIOR TO THAT SCHEDULE, WAS THERE ANOTHER SCHEDULE IN PLACE? 15 A WHILE I WAS IN ROCHESTER AND MADELYN WAS IN LOS 16 17 ANGELES, IT WAS ONCE A MONTH. SO I WOULD HAVE ONE WEEKEND UP TWO SIX DAYS OR SIX NIGHTS, SEVEN DAYS FOR VISITATION IN 18 19 LOS ANGELES OR ROCHESTER. 20 Q AND WHEN DID YOU AND PETITIONER SEPARATE? 21 A THE JULY, AUGUST 2017 TIME FRAME. 22 O AND WHEN DID -- YOU AGREED TO ALLOW PETITIONER TO MOVE TO CALIFORNIA WITH MADELYN? 23 24 А INITIALLY -- OR YES. 25 AND THIS WAS PART OF YOUR MARITAL SETTLEMENT 0 26 AGREEMENT? 27 A CORRECT. Q AND BETWEEN THE TIME THAT YOU WERE STILL LIVING 28

1 IN NEW YORK, PRIOR TO PETITIONER MOVING TO CALIFORNIA, WAS 2 THERE A CUSTODY SCHEDULE IN PLACE AT THAT TIME? 3 A WE HAD A THREE DAYS ON, THREE DAYS OFF SCHEDULE. PRIOR TO THAT IT WAS EVERY OTHER WEEKEND, PRIOR TO US 4 5 GETTING THAT ORDER. 6 Q AND WHEN -- WAS THAT ORDER MADE UPON AGREEMENT? 7 A IT WAS MADE BY THE COURT. SO --8 AND THEN IT WAS ULTIMATELY PART OF YOUR MARITAL Q 9 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT? 10 A CORRECT. O AND DURING THAT TIME WHEN YOU AND PETITIONER 11 SIGNED THE MARITAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, WAS THAT IN 12 JULY 2018? 13 14 A CORRECT. 15 Q WHEN YOU SIGNED THE MARITAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AGREED TO THOSE ORDERS, WAS THERE A PROTECTIVE ORDER IN 16 17 PLACE IN NEW YORK? 18 A YES. 19 WAS THAT A TEMPORARY ORDER? MEANING WAS IT MADE Q AFTER AN EVIDENTIARY TRIAL, OR WERE YOU SERVED WITH IT PRIOR 20 TO HAVING EVIDENTIARY TRIAL? 21 22 THERE WAS NO EVIDENTIARY TRIAL. I WAS SERVED А 23 WITH IT. 24 Q AND SO WHILE THAT ORDER WAS IN PLACE, YOU EITHER 25 RECEIVED COURT ORDERS AND/OR AGREED TO THIS JOINT LEGAL 26 CUSTODY AND TO THE VISITATION SCHEDULE THAT YOU JUST 27 DESCRIBED? 28 A YES.

1	Q OKAY. THE JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY THAT YOU AND
2	PETITIONER SHARE WITH MADELYN, WE'VE HEARD DOES
3	PETITIONER HAVE TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY.
4	A SHE HAS FOR NON-MEDICAL ASPECTS AND THEN AS WELL
5	AS SEPARATE ON R.M.S.A. SHE HAS TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY FOR
6	MEDICAL AS WELL.
7	Q AND IS SHE ABLE TO EXERCISE TIEBREAKING
8	AUTHORITY ANY TIME YOU HAVE A DISAGREEMENT, OR IS THERE SOME
9	KIND OF PROCESS YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO ADHERE TO FIRST?
10	A WE'RE SUPPOSED TO TALK TO A SPECIALIST, AND IF
11	THERE IS NO AGREEMENT TO THAT, THEN SHE HAS TIEBREAKING
12	AUTHORITY, BESIDES THE COURT ORDER.
13	Q HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISAGREEMENTS REGARDING JOINT
14	LEGAL CUSTODY ISSUES WITH MADELYN FIRST, DO YOU
15	UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LEGAL CUSTODY AND
16	PHYSICAL CUSTODY IS?
17	A YES.
18	Q SO HAVE THERE BEEN DISAGREEMENTS?
19	A THERE HAVE BEEN DISAGREEMENTS.
20	Q AT ANY POINT IN TIME HAS SHE EXERCISED THE
21	TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY UPON THOSE DISAGREEMENTS?
22	A YES.
23	Q AT ANY POINT IN TIME DID YOU GO THROUGH THE
24	PROCESS OF FIRST OBTAINING OR CONSULTING WITH A PROFESSIONAL
25	IN THE FIELD, PRIOR TO HER BEING ABLE TO EXERCISE
26	TIEBREAKING AUTHORITY?
27	A NO. SHE JUST EXERCISED THE TIEBREAKING
28	AUTHORITY.

1	Q I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT SOME OF THE
2	RESTRAINING ORDERS THAT WERE ORDERED IN THIS CASE. SO THE
3	FIRST RESTRAINING ORDER WAS GRANTED ON MAY 1ST, 2019; IS
4	THAT RIGHT?
5	MR. MEYER: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.
6	MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE.
7	THE COURT: YOU SAID THE FIRST ONE; RIGHT?
8	MS. MACKAY: YES.
9	THE COURT: WAS THAT REALLY THE FIRST ONE? WASN'T
10	THERE ONE IN NEW YORK?
11	MS. MACKAY: I CAN REPHRASE.
12	THE COURT: OKAY.
13	BY MS. MACKAY:
14	Q THE FIRST RESTRAINING ORDER, THE FIRST
15	RESTRAINING ORDER THAT WAS GRANTED AFTER AN EVIDENTIARY
16	HEARING OR TRIAL, WAS THAT HERE IN CALIFORNIA?
17	A YES.
18	Q SO IN NEW YORK THERE WERE NOT ANY EVIDENTIARY
19	TRIALS FOR THOSE RESTRAINING ORDERS?
20	A NO.
21	Q OKAY. SO THAT RESTRAINING ORDER IN CALIFORNIA,
22	THE FIRST ONE THAT WAS MAY 1ST, 2019?
23	A CORRECT.
24	Q AND THEN AFTER MAY 1ST, 2019 I'M SORRY. I'M
25	GOING TO REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
26	WHEN THAT RESTRAINING ORDER WAS IN PLACE, WHEN
27	DID IT EXPIRE, THE MAY 1ST, 2019?
28	A IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN AROUND MAY 1ST, 2021, OR I

1	THINK, FROM WHAT I RECALL, IT WAS MAY 16TH BECAUSE THAT'S
2	WHEN IT WAS FILED 2019.
3	Q SO IT WAS GRANTED FOR TWO YEARS?
4	A CORRECT.
5	Q AND
6	THE COURT: I HAVE IT RIGHT UP AND I TAKE JUDICIAL
7	NOTICE OF IT. IT EXPIRED ON MAY 1ST, 2021. JUST SO THE
8	RECORD IS CLEAR.
9	BY MS. MACKAY:
10	Q AND DURING THAT TIME, WERE THERE ORDERS FOR YOU
11	TO NOT COME WITHIN A HUNDRED YARDS OF PETITIONER; SO CALLED
12	STAY-AWAY ORDERS?
13	A NOT THAT I RECALL. JUST DURING EXCHANGES. I'M
14	ALLOWED TO BE NEAR HER DURING EXCHANGES.
15	Q WERE THERE NO CONTACT ORDERS THAT WERE ORDERED
16	AS PART OF THAT RESTRAINING ORDER?
17	A THE RESTRAINING ORDER LIMITED OUR CONTACT
18	THROUGH TALKING PARENTS.
19	Q SO WAS THERE AN EXCEPTION FOR CONTACT SOLELY AS
20	LONG AS IT HAD TO DO WITH COURT-ORDERED CHILD CUSTODY
21	VISITATION?
22	A YES. SO NO CONTACT EXCEPT FOR THAT PERTAINING
23	TO MADELYN'S CARE.
24	Q AND WE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE THE TALKING
25	PARENTS MESSAGES BETWEEN YOU AND PETITIONER SINCE MAY 2019.
26	HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE RECENTLY?
27	A YES.
28	Q SO AFTER THE MAY 1ST, 2019 ORDERS WHEN YOU

1 REVIEWED THOSE MESSAGES, DID YOU FEEL LIKE YOU MAY HAVE SENT 2 SOME MESSAGES TO PETITIONER THAT WENT BEYOND THE SCOPE OF 3 COURT-ORDERED CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION? 4 А YES. 5 YOU DO? Q 6 А I DO. 7 Q WHAT ABOUT SINCE -- SO THAT WAS AFTER MAY 1, 8 2019, AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER -- WAS THERE ANOTHER 9 HEARING? 10 THE DECISION FINAL HEARING WAS IN AUGUST 2021. А AND THAT WAS HEARD IN THIS COURT; RIGHT? 11 Q 12 А YES. 13 BY THE SAME JUDGE WHO'S HERE TODAY? Q YES. 14 А AFTER THAT HEARING, HAVE YOU -- IN YOUR OPINION, 15 Q HAVE YOU SENT ANY MESSAGES OVER TALKING PARENTS TO 16 17 PETITIONER THAT ARE ALSO BEYOND THE SCOPE OF COURT-ORDERED 18 CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION? 19 А NO. 20 OKAY. WHEN YOU READ THESE MESSAGES THAT YOU HAD Q SENT PRIOR, IS IT YOUR BELIEF THAT THEY WERE LONGER THAN 21 22 THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN MAYBE? A YES. THERE WAS A LOT OF THINGS I WAS TRYING TO 23 24 POINT OUT THAT I SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN POINTING OUT. 25 AND DO YOU THINK THAT YOU'RE EMOTIONALLY -- WHY 0 26 DO YOU THINK THAT IS? 27 A I WAS VERY HURT WITH PETITIONER'S CONTINUED --28 HOW WE WERE INTERACTING, THE COPARENTING THAT WAS GOING ON.

1 AND THEN JUST THE LACK OF ACCESS TO MADELYN, THE 2 COPARENTING, IT WAS HURTFUL. SO WHAT'S DIFFERENT NOW THEN? SINCE YOU BELIEVE 3 0 THAT YOU HAVE NOT BEEN SENDING THE SAME TYPES OF MESSAGES, 4 AFTER THE HEARING IN 2021, WHY DO YOU THINK THAT IS? 5 6 А WELL, I THINK IT'S STILL HURTFUL, BUT I'M 7 UNDERSTANDING THAT I WENT ABOVE AND BEYOND WHAT I SHOULD HAVE AND THE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT I NEED TO -- HOW I NEED 8 9 TO LIMIT, HOW I NEED TO REACT TO PETITIONER'S -- SOME OF HER 10 DECISION MAKING -- YEAH. 11 ARE YOU DATING ANYONE NEW? 0 12 A I AM. 13 THE COURT: IS THAT A YES OR A NO? 14 THE RESPONDENT: YES, I AM. 15 BY MS. MACKAY: WHAT IS YOUR GIRLFRIEND'S NAME? 16 Q 17 FULL NAME OR CAN I --А 18 JUST FIRST NAME. 0 19 LINDSAY. А 20 HAS MADELYN MET LINDSAY? Q YES. 21 А 22 WHAT DOES LINDSAY DO FOR A LIVING? 0 23 А SHE'S ATTENDING PHYSICIAN AT HOSPITAL DOWNTOWN 24 LOS ANGELES AND SHE'S IN ONCOLOGY. 25 I'M GOING TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR 0 26 RELATIONSHIP WITH MADELYN AND MOVE FORWARD FROM THAT. SO 27 WITH THE CUSTODY THAT YOU HAVE ON EVERY OTHER WEEKENDS, WHAT DO YOU AND MADELYN TYPICALLY LIKE TO DO? 28

1 A SHE LIKES TO GO ON LONG BIKE RIDES. I HAVE A 2 MOUNTAIN BIKE THAT HAS A CHILD SEAT, A PLACE WHERE SHE CAN 3 SIT IN FRONT OF ME, AND WE RIDE AROUND THE PASADENA AND SAN 4 MARINO AREA AND LIKE TO GO TO HUNTINGTON LIBRARY, LOOK AT 5 ALL THE PLANTS THERE; SHE LIKES TO RUN AROUND.

6 THERE'S -- WE GO TO DISNEY THEME PARKS. WE GO SWIMMING AT THE GREAT WOLF LODGE. WE HIT ALL THE ZOOS IN 7 THE AREA. WE HAVE GONE TO THE BEACH MULTIPLE TIMES, 8 9 ESPECIALLY WHEN I LIVED OUT IN HERMOSA BEACH. LIKE TO SET 10 UP PLAY DATES WITH SOME OF HER FRIENDS, SOME OF HER DAYCARE 11 FRIENDS. ONE OF HER BEST FRIEND UP IN DAYCARE, HER PARENTS ARE REALLY GOOD FRIENDS, AND RUBY, AND SO WE SET UP MULTIPLE 12 13 PLAY DAYS. A LITTLE DIFFICULT WITH THE DISTANCE IN SANTA 14 CLARITA, BUT WE MAKE IT HAPPEN.

SHE HAS A GREAT FRIEND NEXT DOOR, JACOBE AROUND HER AGE AND THEY COME OVER EVERY WEDNESDAY FOR DINNER AND PRETTY MUCH EVERY FRIDAY AND SATURDAY NIGHT THEY WOULD BE OVER. AND ALL THE OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES, INDOOR ACTIVITIES. SHOW LOVES TO -- SHE'S VERY ARTISTIC IN TERMS OF CREATIVITY, PLAY-DOH.

21 Q SO I KNOW THAT YOU HAVE HER ON WEEKENDS. DO YOU 22 EVER ENGAGE IN ANY SORT OF PARENTING SUCH AS HELPING HER 23 WITH HOMEWORK OR HELPING HER WITH LEARNING, THINGS THAT YOU 24 WOULD DO IF YOU HAD HER -- THINGS I WOULD IMAGINE A PARENT 25 WOULD DO IF THEY HAD A CHILD OVER WEEKDAYS?

A YES. SO WE SPEND A LOT OF TIME WORKING ON MATH,
SPELLING. I READ TO HER BETWEEN 30 MINUTES TO AN HOUR EVERY
NIGHT WHEN SHE'S OVER. SHE LOVES MAKING UP STORIES. WE

WILL MAKE UP STORIES WHICH IS PROBABLY THE MOST CHALLENGING
 PART BECAUSE SOMETIMES SHE DOESN'T WANT TO READ A STORY; SHE
 WANTS ME TO MAKE UP A STORY, AND SHE WILL GIVE ME THE
 CRITERIA FOR THAT STORY BEFORE I GO INTO A TEN-, 20-MINUTE
 STORY FOR HER.

6

IS SHE SMART?

0

7 I BELIEVE SHE IS VERY SMART. SO WE DO -- I LIKE А TO DO THE RANDOM QUESTIONS. SO DURING OUR 45-MINUTE DRIVE 8 9 HOME, A LOT OF TIMES I'LL JUST ASK HER RANDOM QUESTIONS WHAT 10 PI IS? AND SHE KNOWS PI TO 17TH AND WE HAVE GO INTO ALL THE FAMILY ANIMAL GROUPS. SO I COULD ASK ANY GROUP OF ANIMAL. 11 WHAT A GROUP OF GIRAFFES ARE CALLED AND SHE'LL KNOW IT'S 12 13 CALLED A TOWER AND WHAT A GROUP OF HIPPOS ARE; IT'S CALLED 14 CRASH. OR, I'M SORRY. RHINOS ARE CALLED CRASH. SHE KNOWS 15 ALL THE ANIMAL GROUPS. SOMETIMES I'LL STUMP ON A PI AND SHE WILL CORRECT ME. SHE HAS AN INCREDIBLE MEMORY. SPELLING 16 17 WISE FOR FIVE AND A HALF YEAR OLD, SHE'S REALLY TAKEN OFF WITH SPELLING. IT'S HARDER FOR HER FOR WORDS OVER FIVE 18 19 LETTERS, BUT SHE UNDERSTANDS HOW TO SPELL WORDS OUT AND --20 THE COURT: CAN YOU SHE SPELL HER NAME? 21 THE RESPONDENT: YES. HER ENTIRE NAME SHE CAN SPELL. BY MS. MACKAY: 22 23 Q PI MEANING P-I? 24 А 3.1495. 25 NOT THE PIE THAT I LOVED AS A KID? Q 26 А YES. 27 Q WHAT ABOUT WHERE DID SHE GO TO PRESCHOOL? 28 IT'S A MONTESSORI IN SANTA CLARITA. Α

1 0 IN SANTA CLARITA? 2 Α YES. WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 3 Q PASADENA. 4 А 5 AND WHERE DOES MOM LIVE? Q 6 А FURTHER SOUTH IN SAN BERNARDINO. 7 HOW FAR IS YOUR HOUSE FROM MOM'S HOUSE? Q 8 А ABOUT TWO MILES NOW. 9 HOW FAR IS YOUR AND MOM'S HOUSE -- SINCE IT'S 0 10 CLOSE ENOUGH, TWO MILES, HOW FAR ARE YOUR RESIDENCES FROM MADELYN'S PRESCHOOL IN SANTA CLARITA? 11 40 MILES. 12 А 13 Q 40 MILES. SO ON THE DRIVES HOME FROM PRESCHOOL, 14 DO YOU PICK HER UP FROM PRESCHOOL? 15 A I DO ON WEDNESDAYS AND FRIDAYS BEFORE THE 16 WEEKEND. 17 WEDNESDAYS AND FRIDAYS. AND THAT DRIVE HOME Q ABOUT HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE? 18 19 DEPENDING ON THE TIME, BUT IT WILL RANGE FROM NO А 20 LESS THAN 40, 45 MINUTES TO STUCK IN TRAFFIC FOR AN HOUR AND A HALF GETTING BACK. 21 22 Q AND WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO ON DRIVES? WE ASK A LOT OF QUESTIONS. IT'S MY OPPORTUNITY 23 А 24 TO JUST QUIZ HER ON ALL DIFFERENT ANIMALS, NUMBERS, TRY TO 25 WORK ON ADDITION. SHE'S HAVING A LITTLE HARDER TIME ON 26 SUBTRACTION, BUT WORKING ON SPELLING. SHE'S REALLY GOOD AT 27 NUMBERS WITHOUT USING HER FINGERS. SHE TRIES TO DO IT IN 28 HER HEAD AND SHE'S REAL PROUD ABOUT THAT.

1 WE TALK ABOUT ALL KINDS OF -- JUST A LOT OF RANDOM FACTS AND WE'LL DO A LOT OF JUST SPELLING TRYING TO 2 FIGURE OUT EASY WORDS FOR HER, THREE-LETTER WORDS, DOG, CAT, 3 AND THEN TRYING TO GO INTO THE FOUR LETTER WORDS. 4 5 AND EVERY ONCE IN WHILE I WILL USE THE IPAD AS A 6 TOOL FOR HER. SHE WILL HAVE TO ANSWER 50 QUESTIONS. IT 7 WILL GO UP TO 50, BUT A LOT OF TIME 25 QUESTIONS. I'LL LET HER HAVE THE IPAD AND IT'S MOSTLY EDUCATIONAL STUFF. P.B.S. 8 9 IS A GOOD APP. IT'S A KIDS APP THAT SHE LIKES THAT'S REALLY 10 GOOD THAT SHE LIKES AND LEARNS A LOT OF THINGS FROM THAT. 11 AND WHAT ARE THE HOURS THAT YOU COULD HAVE 0 MADELYN ON WEDNESDAY? 12 13 А IT'S NO EARLIER THAN 2:30 AND I HAVE TO DO THE DROP OFF AT 7:00 O'CLOCK. BUT I DON'T USUALLY PICK UP 14 15 MADELYN BEFORE 3:00 BECAUSE SHE'S DONE -- TYPICALLY GROGGY 16 AROUND 3:00; SO I WILL WAIT UNTIL AFTER 3:00 TO PICK HER UP. 17 AND IN YOUR INITIAL REQUEST FOR ORDER, YOU 0 18 REQUESTED THAT YOU BE ADDED AS AN ADDITIONAL USER TO A 19 KANGAROO APP. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THAT IS? 20 THE KANGAROO APP CAME OUT DURING COVID AND IT'S А USED FOR MESSAGING, UPDATES, PHOTOS OF THE KIDS, AND THEN 21 22 THE BIG ONE IS CHECK IN AND OUT. THE DAYCARE REQUIRES YOU USE THE APP TO CHECK IN AND OUT STUDENTS OR DAYCARE 23 24 STUDENTS. 25 AND WHY WOULD YOU NEED TO BE AN ADDITIONAL USER? 0 26 WHY COULDN'T YOU JUST DOWNLOAD THE APP AND USE YOUR OWN USER 27 NAME? 28 A SO THE APP IS ONLY DESIGNED TO BE FOR ONE

1 STUDENT AND YOU HAVE ONE USER ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE APP AND 2 THEN YOU HAVE ADDITIONAL USERS FOR THE APP, BUT I WAS NOT ALLOWED TO BE ADDED ON AS AN ADDITIONAL USER AND THE 3 DAYCARE -- I TALKED TO THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR AARON JOHNSON 4 5 AND HAD A THREE-WAY CALL WITH THE DIRECTOR, AND THEY WERE 6 TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW THEY CAN WORK AROUND THIS PROBLEM 7 BECAUSE WE WERE THE ONLY PARENTS IN THIS WHOLE REGION OF PARENTS NOT ALLOWING THE OTHER PARENT TO HAVE ACCESS. SO 8 9 THEY HAD TO CREATE A GHOST ACCOUNT AND THAT'S LIMITED. I 10 WAS STILL ABLE TO GET MESSAGES, BUT THE CHECK-IN-AND-OUT PROCEDURE, IT DIDN'T -- PETITIONER -- SHE'LL CHECK HER IN 11 AND OUT AND WHEN I GOT THERE AT DAYCARE, I HAVE TO KNOCK ON 12 13 THE DOOR, TELL THEM I'M HERE AND THEY RUSH TO THAT GATE.

14 NORMALLY WHEN PARENTS CHECK IN OR OUT A STUDENT,
15 THEY DO THAT ABOUT FIVE TO TEN MINUTES BEFORE ARRIVING AND
16 THEN THE DAYCARE, MS. SUSAN, ONE OF THEM WILL GET MADELYN
17 TOGETHER AND GET ALL HER THINGS AND HAVE HER READY SO WHEN
18 THE PARENT SHOWS UP, THE KID IS RIGHT THERE.

SO WHEN I SHOW UP, THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING
ON. WE HAD ONE EXPERIENCE WHERE THE LADY IS LIKE YOU NEED
TO CHECK HER OUT, AND I TOLD HER WE DON'T HAVE IT, AND SHE
HAD THAT AHA MOMENT, OH, YOU'RE THE ONE.

23 MR. MEYER: I'M GOING TO INTERJECT AND MOTION TO 24 STRIKE AS HEARSAY THAT ANY THIRD PARTY SAID, AND MOTION TO 25 STRIKE WITH RESPECT TO THE ONLY PARENT WHO IS RESTRICTED BY 26 THE OTHER PARENT AS ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE RESTRICTION IS ASSUMINGFACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. SO THAT PART IS STRICKEN. AND AS TO

1	THE AHA MOMENT, THAT'S HEARSAY. THAT'S STRICKEN.
2	WE HAD THIS TESTIMONY BEFORE, BUT THAT'S FINE.
3	IT WOULDN'T BE IN THIS TRANSCRIPT.
4	BY MS. MACKAY:
5	Q LET'S TALK A LIT BIT ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT YOU
6	PARTICIPATE IN MADELYN'S MEDICAL CARE. SO DOES MADELYN
7	HAVE ANY MEDICAL PROBLEMS OR ALLERGIES OR ANYTHING LIKE
8	THAT.
9	A YES.
10	Q WHAT DOES SHE HAVE?
11	A SO SHE'S ALLERGIC TO QUITE A FEW THINGS. SOME
12	ALLERGIES. SHE HAS ECZEMA. IN TERMS OF THE ALLERGIES,
13	SHE'S HAS A PEANUT ALLERGY. SO WE CONSIDER A MODERATE
14	PEANUT ALLERGY. I THINK IT'S A CLASS TWO AND WITH THAT SHE
15	BEEN ON A DESENSITIZER. SO IT'S PALFORZIA,
16	P-A-L-F-O-R-Z-I-A, AND SHE'S AT THE MAXIMUM DOSE WHICH IS
17	300 MILLIGRAMS PER DAY, AND SHE REQUIRES THAT EVERY DAY AND
18	IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE IN THE MORNING; SO WHEN SHE IS WITH ME,
19	I TYPICALLY GIVE IT TO HER BETWEEN 7:00 AND 8:00 IN THE
20	MORNING WITH SOME EITHER YOGURT OR APPLESAUCE.
21	I'VE BEEN TO HER ALLERGIST APPOINTMENTS AND
22	THERE'S BEEN SOME ISSUES WITH THAT. AND FOR HER ALLERGIES
23	MADELYN IS ALLERGIC TO MOLD NUMBER THREE. SHE'S ALLERGIC TO
24	CATS. I JUST FOUND OUT TODAY WELL, I KNEW THAT MADELYN
25	WAS ALLERGIC TO DOGS, BUT I FOUND OUT THROUGH THE ALLERGIST
26	TODAY, FROM A TEST THAT WAS DOWN BACK IN DECEMBER 2020,
27	SHE'S ALLERGIC TO DOGS. SO TWO OF FIVE FOR SENSITIVITY OF
28	DOGS.

1	
1	MR. MEYER: MOTION TO STRIKE AS HEARSAY WITH RESPECT
2	TO WHAT WAS TOLD BY THE PHYSICIAN WITH RESPECT TO THE DOG
3	ALLERGY?
4	THE COURT: WHY IS THAT ONE DIFFERENT FROM ALL THE
5	REST?
6	MR. MEYER: BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT I SPECIFICALLY HEARD
7	AS FAR AS THIS DOCTOR SAID SHE WAS ALLERGIC TO DOGS.
8	THE COURT: TWO TO FIVE AND PEANUT WAS A TWO. SO
9	OVERRULED.
10	THE RESPONDENT: I WAS GIVEN A MEDICAL NOTE TODAY THAT
11	MADELYN IS ALLERGIC TO DOGS AND I CAN CONFIRM BY THE PERSON
12	WHO SENT ME THE EMAIL.
13	BY MS. MACKAY:
14	Q ANY ILLNESS THAT MADELYN HAS BEEN DIAGNOSED
15	WITH WHEN SHE'S UNDER YOUR CARE, DO YOU TAKE CARE OF HER
16	WHEN SHE'S SICK?
17	A YES. SO IF SHE'S SICK WITH THE COMMON COLD, I
18	TAKE CARE OF AS NECESSARY. SHE DOES HAVE OSTEOCHONDROMA
19	WHICH IS A LEFT HIP IT'S A TUMOR. SO IT'S ABNORMAL
20	GROWTH. HER BUTT IS ASYMMETRIC. SO LEFT SIDE IS A LITTLE
21	BIGGER THAN HER RIGHT SIDE. IT DOESN'T AFFECT HER IN ANY
22	WAY IN TERM OF RUNNING AND SHE'S GOT KNOCK KNEES. SO IT'S A
23	KID ISSUE, BUT SHE CAN RUN JUST FINE. SHE'S FAST AND
24	BICYCLE AND SHE'S GOT FULL MOTION ESSENTIALLY.
25	Q DO YOU GIVE HER ANY MEDICATION FOR THE ECZEMA?
26	A I GIVE HER A RAY OF LOTIONS THAT I USE AND SINCE
27	WE'RE GRACE PROVIDED ME WITH A LIST AND I HAVE ALL
28	THESE I GIVE HER SPECIAL BATHS. IT'S OATMEAL BASED AND

1	THROW A PACKET IN THE TUB. SO WE WILL DO LIKE A COOLER/WARM
2	BATH. SHE WILL HAVE THAT IN THERE AND HELPS OUT WITH THE
3	ECZEMA.
4	IT'S GOTTEN A LOT BETTER AND I FOUND OUT SHE HAS
5	SHE A SHIBA INU DOG AT HER HOUSE AND I FIND OUT LAST YEAR
6	THE DOG DIED. I WAS ALLERGIC TO THAT DOG. AND WHEN THE DOG
7	LEFT, THE ECZEMA HAS BEEN A LOT BETTER.
8	SO SHE'LL STILL HAVE SOME FLAREUPS, BUT IT'S NOT
9	AS BAD AS IT WAS. IT WAS REALLY BAD IN NOVEMBER OF 2021,
10	CAME BACK FROM EGYPT. THERE WAS SIGNIFICANT SCARRING. IT
11	WAS REALLY BAD, AND THAT WAS A CONCERN THAT I HAD,
12	SIGNIFICANT CONCERN THAT I HAD.
13	THE COURT: THIS MIGHT BE A GOOD SPOT TO TAKE A BREAK.
14	I NEED TO GIVE THE COURT REPORTER A BREAK.
15	LET'S TAKE A 15-MINUTE RECESS.
16	
17	(RECESS TAKEN.)
18	
19	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. WE HAVE
20	MR. AUSTIN BACK ON THE STAND.
21	WHENEVER YOU'RE READY, MS. MACKAY.
22	BY MS. MACKAY:
23	Q SO BEFORE THE BREAK WE WERE TALKING A LITTLE BIT
24	ABOUT MADELYN'S HEALTH ISSUES, SPECIFICALLY SOME ALLERGIES.
25	AND DID YOU SAY THAT YOU FOUND OUT THAT SHE WAS OR DO YOU
26	BELIEVE THAT SHE'S ALLERGIC TO DOGS.
27	A I BELIEVE SHE'S BEEN ALLERGIC TO DOGS FOR A
28	WHILE.

Г

1	Q DID YOU HAVE HER LAST WEEKEND FOR EASTER?
2	A YES.
3	Q HOW WAS HER HEALTH LAST WEEKEND?
4	A THINGS WERE GREAT. WE WENT TO AN EASTER EGG
5	HUNT AT LACY PARK; SO MADELYN AND HER LITTLE FRIEND AND I
6	WERE THERE. THERE WAS A DOG THERE, A GOLDEN RETRIEVER THAT
7	CAME UP AND WE WERE TALKING TO THE OWNER AND MADELYN WENT
8	AND TOUCHED THE DOG, PETTED THE DOG, AND I BELIEVE WHAT WAS
9	SALIVA GOT ON HER RIGHT WRIST AND SHE EVENTUALLY RUBBED HER
10	EYE AND SHE HAD A VERY BAD ALLERGIC REACTION. SO I
11	ADMINISTER CHILDREN'S BENADRYL AND THEN LATER ON HER EYE
12	THE SWELLING IN HER EYE WOULDN'T GO DOWN SO WE WENT AND GOT
13	SOME ANTIHISTAMINE DROPS. AND THEN THAT SPARKED I JUST
14	WANTED TO CONFIRM WITH THE ALLERGIST HOW BAD HER ALLERGY WAS
15	TO DOGS, BECAUSE THERE WAS AS DISCUSSION BETWEEN GRACE AND I
16	WHY GRACE DIDN'T LET ME KNOW THAT MADELYN WAS ALLERGIC TO
17	dogs when she listed out 15 other allergens and she left out
18	DOGS.
19	AND I QUESTIONED GRACE ABOUT IT AND SHE
20	RESPONDED IN TALKING PARENTS THAT THE DOCTOR SAID THAT THERE
21	MIGHT HAVE BEEN SOMETHING BUT IT WAS NEGLIGIBLE SO SHE
22	DIDN'T MENTION IT.
23	SO I WANTED TO KNOW HOW BAD SHE WAS ALLERGIC
24	BECAUSE THAT WAS A REALLY BAD ALLERGIC REACTION. AND MY

GIRLFRIEND, SHE RECOMMENDED ANTIHISTAMINE EYE DROPS AND IT
WENT AWAY OVER NIGHT. BUT IT WAS A VERY MISERABLE TIME FOR
MADELYN THAT EVENING; AND THEN I CONFIRMED WITH THE
ALLERGIST THIS WEEK IF THE ANTIHISTAMINE DROP WAS THE RIGHT

1 THING, AND THEY SAID, YES, THAT WAS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. 2 0 WHEN YOU DROPPED MADELYN OFF BACK WITH GRACE, DO 3 YOU GIVE GRACE ANY SORT OF UPDATE ABOUT THE WEEKEND OR ABOUT MADELYN'S HEALTH? 4 5 YES. SO I ALWAYS PROVIDED SOME TYPE OF RESPONSE А 6 WHETHER MADELYN -- WHAT SHE DID AND HOW SHE WAS FEELING. A 7 LOT OF TIMES -- ESPECIALLY WITH PALFORZIA, I LET GRACE KNOW 8 SHE TOOK HER PALFORZIA, NO ISSUES, NO REACTION. AND I LET 9 GRACE KNOW EVERYTHING WAS FINE THROUGH THE WEEKEND IN CASE 10 SHE HAD ANY QUESTIONS. 11 AND YOU DO THIS OVER TALKING PARENTS? Q 12 А CORRECT. 13 AND DO YOU GIVE GRACE ANY SORT OF UPDATES ABOUT Q 14 THE ACTIVITIES THAT YOU AND MADELYN ENGAGED IN OVER THE 15 WEEKEND? YES, I'LL LET HER KNOW AND THERE'S CERTAIN FUN 16 А 17 THING THAT WE DID, WE WENT AND TRAVELED. THERE'S BEEN 18 ISSUES IF I GO OUT OF TOWN, BUT IT'S TO ORANGE COUNTY, 19 THERE'S BEEN SOME ISSUES OR CONFLICT WITH THAT, BUT I LET 20 GRACE KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.

Q AND WHEN YOU SEND THESE TALKING PARENTS MESSAGES
SUMMARIZING THE WEEKEND THAT YOU HAD WITH MADELYN, HAS GRACE
EVER ACCUSED YOU OF VIOLATING THE RESTRAINING ORDER?

24ANOT FOR THESE -- I BELIEVE MAYBE A HANDFUL OF25TIMES SHE MIGHT HAVE SAID SOMETHING BECAUSE I BRING UP AN26ISSUE WITH THE FACE-TIME OR SOMETIMES SHE JUST SAYS THAT.

Q LET'S TALK ABOUT THE FACE-TIME. IT SEEMS LIKE
THAT IN REVIEWING THE TALKING PARENTS MESSAGES -- I'LL

1 REPHRASE THIS. HAS GRACE ACCUSED YOU OF VIOLATING THE RESTRAINING ORDER SINCE THE AUGUST 2021 RENEWAL? 2 3 YES, QUITE A FEW TIMES. А OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE SITUATION WAS? 4 0 5 IT WAS WITH OUR FACE-TIME -- WITH THE MAJORITY Α 6 FACE-TIME SESSIONS ARE GREAT, ESPECIALLY IF GRACE LEAVES 7 MADELYN ALONE AND I'M JUST TALKING TO MADELYN THINGS ARE 8 GREAT. BUT THERE'S A LOT OF CONNECTIVITY ISSUES AND EVERY 9 TIME IF GRACE WOULD CALL -- AND THIS IS BETWEEN THE SPAN OF 10 4:30 IN THE AFTERNOON TO 9:30, I COULD GET CALL AT ANY TIME AND GRACE HAS MADE IT VERY CLEAR IF I DON'T ANSWER THAT 11 CALL, I MIST THAT TIME AND IT WILL BE THE NEXT DAY. SO 12 13 SHE'S TOLD ME THAT MANY TIMES AND I DO EVERYTHING I CAN TO 14 MAKE SURE I DROP EVERYTHING AT THESE FACE-TIME CALLS. THESE 15 ARE MY ABILITY TO JUST INTERACT WITH MADELYN AND MAINTAIN THAT RELATIONSHIP WITH HER, ESPECIALLY JUST WHEN IT'S LONG 16 17 PERIODS BETWEEN VISITATION.

AND WHEN I MISS A CALL, I IMMEDIATELY TRY TO CALL GRACE BACK AND SAY I'M HERE, THE PHONE ONLY RANG ONCE OR TWICE AND THEN HUNG UP AND THEN I IMMEDIATELY CALL. I KNOW GRACE IS NOT GOING TO PICK UP BUT IT'S MY ATTEMPT TO SAY, HEY, I'M HERE. PLEASE CALL BACK.

23 Q WHEN YOU SAY THAT YOU CALL GRACE, DO YOU 24 FACE-TIME HER CELL PHONE?

A YES. I JUST HIT -- IF IT'S A MISSED CALL, I
WILL HIT RECONNECT AND I TRY TO REESTABLISH THAT CONNECTION;
SO I'M NOT INITIATING THE CALL, BUT THE COURT ORDER SAYS
WHICHEVER PARENT HAS MADELYN WILL INITIATE THE CALL. SO ALL

1 I'M TRYING TO DO IS REESTABLISH THAT CONNECTION, BUT 2 ACCORDING TO GRACE, IT'S A VIOLATION OF THE ORDER. 3 ASIDE FROM TRYING TO RECONNECT A FACE-TIME CALL 0 WHICH IS OUTSIDE THE BALANCE OF THE RESTRAINING ORDER, SINCE 4 YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO BE TALKING ON TALKING PARENTS, HAVE YOU 5 6 EVER CALLED GRACE'S CELL PHONE OR SENT A TEXT MESSAGE 7 RECENTLY THAT WOULD HAVE TECHNICALLY BEEN A VIOLATION OF 8 RESTRAINING ORDER? 9 MR. MEYER: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AS TO RECENTLY. MS. MACKAY: I CAN REPHRASE. 10 11 THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. BY MS. MACKAY: 12 13 Q IN THE PASS 12 MONTHS, HAVE YOU TRIED TO CONTACT 14 GRACE OUTSIDE OF THE TALKING PARENTS BY A TEXT MESSAGE OR 15 PHONE CALL? 16 A DURING OUR PRESIDENT'S DAY WEEKEND, GRACE ONLY 17 INCLUDED TWO PALFORZIA PACKETS, TWO DOSES, TWO MORE DOSES, AND I MESSAGED GRACE ON FRIDAY EVENING ON TALKING PARENTS TO 18 LET HER KNOW SHE ONLY -- IT'S A THREE-DAY WEEKEND AND SHE 19 20 SHOULD HAVE PROVIDED THREE PACKETS. GRACE ONLY PROVIDED 21 TWO. AND I MESSAGED HER FRIDAY AFTERNOON AFTER I REALIZED 22 IT WAS ONLY TWO PACKETS. GRACE DID ANSWER -- OR DID NOT 23 READ HER TALKING PARENTS FRIDAY EVENING; THEN SATURDAY AND 24 THEN I ENDED UP HAVING TO TEXT GRACE. I FELT THAT WAS AN 25 EMERGENCY BECAUSE SHE'S NOT CHECKED HER TALKING PARENTS, AND 26 I ASKED HE TO CHECK HER TALKING PARENTS AND I NEED THAT 27 EXTRA DOSE AND I ASKED HER WHY. 28 O DID YOU GET THE EXTRA DOSE?

1 А I EVENTUALLY DID. AND HER REASONING DURING THAT WEEKEND WAS SHE JUST -- SHE RAN OUT OF DOSES AND DIDN'T --2 SHE JUST GOT THEM IN THE MAIL THAT DAY BUT JUST SOMEHOW 3 DIDN'T TELL ME AND SHE ASSUMED -- SINCE I DIDN'T LET HER 4 5 KNOW I WAS NOT GOING TO BE AROUND, SHE WAS ASSUMING I WAS 6 GOING TO BE AT MY HOUSE; SHE COULD DROP IT OFF LATER. 7 SO WHEN YOU TEXT HER ON HER CELL PHONE, NOT Q THROUGH TALKING PARENTS, IS THAT ONE OF THE TIMES THAT SHE 8 9 ACCUSED YOU OF VIOLATING THE NO CONTACT ORDER OF THE 10 RESTRAINING ORDER? A SHE DIDN'T ACCUSE ME OF VIOLATING THAT TIME. 11 SHE JUST SAID IT WAS UNNECESSARY, BUT OTHER TIMES SHE 12 13 ACCUSED ME OF VIOLATING IT. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE WAS ONE 14 MORNING WHERE I WAS --15 MR. MEYER: MOTION TO STRIKE AFTER NO AS NONRESPONSIVE 16 TO THE QUESTION. 17 THE COURT: AFTER NO, IT'S STRICKEN AS NONRESPONSIVE. 18 YOU CAN ASK A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. 19 BY MS. MACKAY: 20 O IS THERE ANY OTHER TIME THAT SHE HAS ACCUSED YOU OF VIOLATING THE RESTRAINING ORDER IN THE PAST YEAR? 21 22 YES. ONE THAT COMES TO MIND I ACCIDENTALLY --A 6:00 IN THE MORNING I WAS CHECKING TALKING PARENTS MESSAGE 23 24 AND THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PHONE QUALITY AND TIME 25 ON MISSED CALLS; SO I WENT TO MY CALL LOG ON MY IPHONE AND I 26 WAS ATTEMPTING TO HIT THE INFORMATION BUTTON "I" ON THE 27 RIGHT SIDE AND ACCIDENTALLY I MUST HAVE JUST FACE-TIMED HER 28 OR HIT FACE-TIME, AND THEN AS SOON AS I SAW THAT IT

1 CONNECTED, I IMMEDIATELY HUNG UP. IT STILL MADE A 2 CONNECTION. THEN I WENT TO TALKING PARENTS AND TEXT OR MESSAGED HER SAYING THAT, HEY, IF YOU GOT A FACE-TIME CALL, 3 THAT WAS AN ACCIDENT. I'M SORRY. AND HER RESPONSE WAS I 4 5 WAS VIOLATING THE ORDER. Q 6 HAVE YOU TAKEN MADELYN TO THEME PARKS WITHIN THE 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA? 8 YES. SO DISNEY WORLD -- I'M SORRY. DISNEYLAND. А 9 WHEN WAS THAT? Q THAT PRE-COVID, BEFORE I DEPLOYED WE WENT TO 10 А DISNEYLAND MULTIPLE TIMES. MULTI-DAY PARK HOPPER. SO WENT 11 THERE; CALIFORNIA ADVENTURE. WE DID THAT. WE WENT TO THE 12 13 GREAT WOLF LODGE KIND OF A THEME PARK, WATER THEME PARK, 14 WENT TO SAN DIEGO. TOOK A TRIP TO SAN DIEGO AND WENT TO SAN 15 DIEGO SAFARI, WENT TO LEGOLAND DOWN IN SAN DIEGO. WENT TO LIKE A HOTEL RESORT WITH A BIG POOL, WATER SLIDE; KNOTTS 16 17 BERRY FARM IS ANOTHER THEME PARK. SO ALL THE THEME PARKS IN 18 THE AREA. 19 I'M LOOKING AT EXHIBIT -- RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT 0 20 513 WHICH HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE AT PAGE 34. 21 THE COURT: RESPONDENT 513. PAGE 34. 22 BY MS. MACKAY: DO YOU REMEMBER THE WEEKEND OF -- SO ON PAGE 34 23 Q 24 THIS MESSAGE IS FROM YOU. ON JANUARY 9TH, 2022. AND IT 25 LOOKED LIKE YOU GAVE AN UPDATE ABOUT THE WEEKEND AND TOLD 26 GRACE THAT THE PALFORZIA WENT WELL WITHOUT ANY ISSUES AND 27 THEN YOU CONTINUED TO SAY THAT YOU AND MADELYN HAD A GREAT 28 TIME. THERE WAS A PLAY DATE. YOU WENT TO THE MOUNTAINS TO

1	PLAY IN THE SNOW.
2	DO YOU REMEMBER HOW DO YOU REMEMBER HOW GRACE
3	RESPONDED TO THAT?
4	A FROM WHAT I REMEMBER, SHE WAS UPSET SHE DIDN'T
5	PROVIDE ME CONSENT FOR HER TO GO TUBING, AND IT WAS MULTIPLE
6	INCIDENTS LIKE THAT. WE HAD BEEN GOING TUBING QUITE A BIT,
7	AND GRACE HAS SAID THAT SHE HAD NEVER PROVIDED CONSENT FOR
8	THAT.
9	Q WHERE DID YOU GO SNOW TUBING WITH MADELYN?
10	A THE YEAR PRIOR IT WAS BIG BEAR, AND THEN SAN
11	ANTONIO MOUNTAIN, AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER ONE CLOSER,
12	YETIS TO YETIS PARK. THAT WAS THIS YEAR.
13	Q IS SAN ANTONIO MOUNTAIN IN CALIFORNIA?
14	A YES. ALL THESE WERE IN CALIFORNIA.
15	Q IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU HAVE TO OBTAIN
16	GRACE'S PERMISSION IN ORDER TO ALLOW MADELYN TO ENGAGE IN
17	AGE APPROPRIATE EVENTS?
18	A NO.
19	Q THERE WAS ANOTHER TIME DO YOU RECALL ANOTHER
20	TIME WHEN YOU TOOK MADELYN TO PLAY ON A WATER SLIDE?
21	A YES. THAT WAS IN SAN DIEGO. ONE OF THESE HOTEL
22	RESORTS HAD A BIG POOL, WATER PARK NOT A WATER PARK, BUT
23	A NICE WATER SLIDE, AND THAT WAS ALSO IN CONJUNCTION WITH
24	THE SAN DIEGO SAFARI TRIP WHERE WE WENT AND DID THE SAFARI.
25	WE ALSO TOOK A BALLOON RIDE WHICH IS NOT A HOT AIR BALLOON,
26	BUT A HELIUM-FILLED BALLOON THAT'S TETHERED TO THE GROUND
27	WITH SEALED GRATES AND KIDS ZERO TO THREE ARE FREE. WE WENT
28	TO THAT. WATER SLIDE.

1 MADELYN HAD A GREAT TIME THAT WEEKEND AND THE 2 RESPONSE WAS MADELYN INFORMED ME THAT SHE WENT ON A SLIDE 3 AND SHE WENT ON A BALLOON AND GRACE DIDN'T GIVE ME CONSENT FOR THAT. 4 5 TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS GRACE EVER -- HAS 0 6 MS. AUSTIN EVER TAKEN MADELYN TO A THEME PARK OR TO A 7 SIMILAR EVENT FOR CHILDREN? 8 YES. А 9 AND HAVE YOU ASKED HER FOR YOUR CONSENT? Q NO. 10 А 11 HAS SHE EVER ASKED YOU TO GET HER WRITTEN 0 CONSENT BY WAIVER TO ENGAGE IN ACTIVITIES SUCH AS SLIDING 12 13 DOWN A WATER SLIDE? 14 A SHE NEVER -- I'M SORRY -- CAN YOU REPEAT THAT? 15 O DO YOU REMEMBER A TIME WHERE GRACE HAS ASKED YOU TO GET HER WRITTEN CONSENT TO AS WAVER TO ALLOW MADELYN TO 16 17 SLIDE DOWN A WATER SLIDE? A A WRITTEN WAIVER? THE ONLY THING I CAN REMEMBER 18 19 FOR A WRITTEN WAIVER WAS FOR WHEN I TOOK MADELYN FLYING, BUT 20 FOR A WATER SLIDE, NO. SHE JUST SAID SHE DIDN'T PERMIT THAT OR I NEVER ASKED FOR HER CONSENT. AND I THINK SHE SAID SHE 21 22 WAS DISAPPOINTED BECAUSE I DIDN'T ASK HER FOR CONSENT. 23 Q OKAY. I'M LOOKING AT EXHIBIT RESPONDENT'S 513 24 WHICH HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE AT PAGE 21. ABOUT 25 HALFWAY DOWN THE PAGE OR THE LAST MESSAGE IS FROM -- SECOND 26 TO THE LAST MESSAGE IS FROM YOU TO GRACE AND THIS IS ON 27 OCTOBER 3RD, 2021. 28 YOU TELL GRACE THAT MADELYN HAD A GREAT WEEKEND.

YOU WENT TO THE PARK AND TO THE SHERATON RESORT. YOU WENT
 INTO THE HOTEL POOL. SHE DID GET A RUNNY NOSE, MADELYN.
 SHE TOOK A NAP ON THE CAR RIDE BACK. AND ALSO EXPLAINED
 ABOUT PALFORZIA MEDICATION. DO YOU RECALL HOW MS. AUSTIN
 REPLIED TO THAT MESSAGE?

A I THINK SHE RESPONDED DISAPPOINTED, DIDN'T ASK
FOR CONSENT TO GO OUT OF TOWN. DIDN'T ASK FOR CONSENT OUT
OF TOWN, DIDN'T ASK FOR CONSENT FOR THE BALLOON RIDE, DIDN'T
ASK FOR CONSENT FOR THE WATER SLIDE.

10QDO YOU REMEMBER HER SAYING IN RESPONSE -- THIS11IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE ALSO -- DID NOT SIGN HEALTH/SAFETY12WAIVER WITHOUT DISCUSSING WITH ME PRIOR TO SIGNING MADELYN13UP FOR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS HOT AIR BALLOON RIDES AND WATER14SLIDES. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

15

A YES, I REMEMBER THAT.

16 Q SO THAT HOT AIR BALLOON RIDE, CAN YOU TELL ME A 17 LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE HOT AIR BALLOON RIDE?

A THAT ONE WAS THE HELIUM BALLOON. IT'S TETHERED TO THE GROUND WITH METAL CABLES. IT DOESN'T GO MORE THAN A HUNDRED FEET. IT'S JUST FOR YOU TO SEE OVER THE SAFARI, TO SEE ALL THE ANIMALS. IT'S NOT A HOT AIR BALLOON. THERE'S NO FIRE. IT'S JUST A HELIUM-FILLED BALLOON AND EXTREMELY SAFE. IT'S INSIDE A METAL CAGE SO NO ONE CAN EVEN TRY TO JUMP OFF IF THEY WANTED TO.

25 Q DID YOU HAVE TO SIGN A WAIVER TO PUT MADELYN ON 26 THAT?

27 A I THINK FOR THE TICKETS. I HAD TO SIGN FOR THE
28 TICKETS TO GET. I THINK WITHIN THE TICKETS IT'S PARENTAL

CONSENT.

Α

Q DID YOU HAVE TO GIVE PARENTAL CONSENT FOR HER TO SLIDE DOWN THE WATER SLIDE AT THE SHERATON HOTEL AND RESORT?

4

5

6

7

1

2

3

NO, THERE WAS NO CONSENT FOR THAT.

Q OKAY. LET'S DISCUSS -- LET'S MOVE SHIFTS HERE AND I WANT TO DISCUSS WHAT YOU DO FOR A LIVING. WHAT DO YOU DO FOR A LIVING?

А SO FULL TIME I'M A CONTRACTOR FOR BOOZ ALLEN 8 9 HAMILTON, AND THAT'S AN AEROSPACE COMPANY, ENGINEERING 10 COMPANY FOR -- IT WORKS FOR SPACE FORCE AT LOS ANGELES AIR 11 FORCE BASE IN EL SEGUNDO. WE WORK G.P.S., ALL INS AND OUTS OF G.P.S. SATELLITES AND RECEIVERS ON THE GROUND AND NEW 12 13 TECHNOLOGY. AND RIGHT NOW I A HUNDRED PERCENT TELEWORK. 14 I'LL GO INTO THE OFFICE EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE, BUT WE ARE 15 TELEWORKING AND FORESEE TELEWORKING IN THE FORESEEABLE 16 FUTURE.

17 AND THEN I HAVE A JOB WITH ARMY RESERVES. AND 18 I'M A MAJOR AND ALSO -- MY RANK IS A MAJOR AND I'M A PILOT 19 SO IT'S A KING AIR BEECHCRAFT, KING AIR, THE PILOT, PILOT IN COMMAND, INTERMISSION COMMANDER, A LOT OF TITLES. BUT I FLY 20 21 A TWIN ENGINE TURBOPROP. ESSENTIALLY FLY GENERALS, 22 DIGNITARIES, ANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL AND FLY THEM ALL AROUND 23 PRETTY MUCH WEST COAST. AND WHERE OUR MISSION IS WE ARE 24 BASED OUT OF LOS ALAMITOS AND I DO THAT ON A PART-TIME BASIS 25 AS AN ARMY RESERVIST.

26 Q WHAT IS YOUR -- IF ANY, WHAT ARE YOUR 27 CREDENTIALS FOR BEING ABLE TO FLY A PLANE FOR THE MILITARY? 28 A FOR THE MILITARY, I HAVE THE HIGHEST RANK IN

1 TERMS OF -- OR HIGHEST BADGE OR HIGHEST AWARD THE ARMY GIVES 2 FOR MILITAR AVIATORS. SO IT'S THE MASTER AVIATOR BADGE. 3 AND THE REQUIREMENT FOR THAT IS 2000 HOURS, AND YOU HAVE TO BE A PILOT IN COMMAND AND ESSENTIALLY NO ISSUES OF FLYING. 4 SO I WAS AWARDED THAT, I BELIEVE, LAST YEAR. AND YOU ALSO 5 6 NEED TO HAVE 15 YEARS OF FLIGHT EXPERIENCE IN THE ARMY. SO 7 I OBTAINED THAT LAST YEAR AND I HAD THE HOURS AND PILOT IN 8 COMMAND STATUS. SO THAT'S ESSENTIALLY I COULD FLY WITH A BRAND 9 10 NEW PILOT RIGHT OUT OF FLIGHT SCHOOL. SOMEONE THAT'S 11 ESSENTIALLY NOT COMPETENT TO FLY BY HIMSELF. I'M KIND OF A TEACHER. I'M NOT AN INSTRUCTOR 12 13 PILOT; SO THERE ARE CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS AS NOT AS AN 14 INSTRUCTOR PILOT, BUT AS A PILOT IN COMMAND, THAT AIRCRAFT 15 IS MINE. SO I'M TAKING EIGHT PASSENGERS. THIS COULD BE

16 FOUR STAR GENERALS, FOREIGN DIGNITARIES THAT VISIT, STATE17 DEPARTMENT FOLKS. I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR THE AIRCRAFT.

18 Q WITH THESE PILOT AND CREDENTIALS DO YOU KNOW IF 19 YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO FLY, SAY, LIKE A COMMERCIAL PLANE FOR A 20 REGULAR DELTA AIRLINE, AMERICAN AIRLINES, THAT TYPE OF 21 THING, COMMERICIAL AIRLINE?

A SO I ALSO HAVE MY COMMERCIAL CERTIFICATE WITH THE F.A.A. ON TOP OF MY INSTRUMENTS, MULTI-ENGINE. I'VE GOT EVERYTHING EXCEPT CERTIFIED FLIGHT INSTRUCTOR. THAT REQUIRES A LITTLE BIT EXTRA AND I'M THINKING ABOUT THAT, BUT I COULD. ESSENTIALLY, IF I WANT, TO NOW GO FLY FOR A MAJOR AIRLINE, I WOULD HAVE TO GET MY A.T.P. LICENSE AND --WELL, I HAVE TO APPLY FOR AN A.T.P. LICENSE AND THEN RIGHT NOW A HIRING FREEZE.

1

2 Q HAVE YOU EVER PILOTED A PLANE WITH MADELYN AS A 3 PASSENGER?

A I FLOWN HER ONLY ONCE. AS A PASSENGER SHE HAD
BEEN DYING TO GO UP, AND I KNOW SHE WANTED TO BE AN
ASTRONAUT AT ONE POINT; SO I REALLY ENJOYED THAT EXPERIENCE.

7 I WAS ABLE TO TAKE HER UP IN A CESSNA 172 AND THIS IS A PLANE I'VE FLOWN A COUPLE HUNDRED HOURS AND I'M 8 9 VERY KNOWLEDGABLE OF THIS AIRCRAFT. I TOOK HER AND HER 10 GIRLFRIEND UP AND HAD A BLAST. SO MADELYN -- SHE ENJOYED EVERYTHING. SMILES. SHE CRIED A LITTLE BIT WHEN I WOULD 11 NOT FLIP THE PLANE OVER. SO SHE HAD SEEN ME FLY BECAUSE I 12 13 DID SOME AEROBATICS TRAINING, SAFETY TRAINING IN ARIZONA, 14 AND I SHOWED HER A VIDEO OF ME GOING INTO A SPIN AND GETTING 15 RECOVERING FROM THAT SPIN AND SHE WANTED TO DO THAT.

16 SHE LOVES ME PICKING HER, FLYING, PRETENDING 17 LIKE SHE'S FLYING AN AIRPLANE, FLYING AROUND THE HOUSE. AND 18 THEN I JUST WHILE WAS IN THE AIR, VERY GENTLE BECAUSE MY 19 GIRLFRIEND IS A LITTLE BIT SENSITIVE IN FLYING THE UPS AND 20 DOWNS, BUT IT WAS ENOUGH TO MAKE MADELYN HAPPY. BUT SHE 21 WANTED ME TO FLIP THE PLANE OVER; SHE CRIED A LITTLE BIT 22 WHEN I DIDN'T FLIP THE PLANE OVER.

23 Q AND DOES MADELYN STILL WANT TO BE AN ASTRONAUT 24 AFTER THE FLIGHT?

A SO SHE -- EVERY OTHER WEEK IT'S SOMETHING
DIFFERENT. RIGHT NOW SHE WANTS TO BE A SINGER. INITIALLY
IT WAS AN ASTRONAUT. SHE WANTED TO BE A MOM WHICH IS REALLY
SWEET. A DOCTOR AT ONE POINT. RIGHT NOW SHE WANTS TO BE A

1 SINGER. SO I ENCOURAGE HER. ALL THE DIFFERENT DISNEY 2 MOVIES. THE BIG ONE SHE'S INTO IS ENCANTO RIGHT NOW. SO 3 SEEING THAT QUITE A BIT. IS SHE ANY GOOD? 4 0 5 SHE'S REALLY GOOD. WE HAVE A LITTLE A KARAOKE А 6 MACHINE FOR HER, JUST DANCING. SHE'S AN EXTROVERT AND SHE 7 JUST LOVES TO GET OUT, LOVES TO DANCE. SO WE WILL PLAY SOME 8 MUSIC AND SHE AND HER AND JACOBE LOVE -- THEY LOVE JUST 9 DANCING. 10 CAN YOU REMIND US WHO JACOBE IS? Q A HE'S THE FOUR-YEAR-OLD BOY NEXT DOOR. SO HIS 11 PARENTS POLLY AND EASON (PHONETIC) LIVE RIGHT NEXT DOOR AND 12 13 HE GOES TO A LOCAL DAYCARE. POLLY IS A STAY AT HOME MOM 14 NOW, BUT SHE'S A TEACHER AT ONE OF THE SAN BERNARDINO 15 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS, AND THEN THE FATHER IS AN ENGINEER FOR 16 RAYTHEON. 17 OKAY. SO BACK TO YOUR MILITARY EXPERIENCE. ARE 0 YOU STILL AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE MILITARY? 18 19 I AM CONSIDERED NOT ACTIVE BUT I'M A RESERVIST. А 20 SO ESSENTIALLY PART-TIME SOLDIER WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF ONE WEEKEND A MONTH AND TWO WEEKS OUT OF THE YEAR, BUT THE 21 22 RESERVE UNIT, THE SPECIFIC UNIT I'M IN WHICH I WAS 23 TRANSFERRED IN NOVEMBER 2019 VERY FLEXIBLE. AND THERE HAS 24 BEEN A LOT OF WEEKENDS IF I HAVE MADELYN, IT'S NOT ONE OF 25 THE MANDATORY WEEKENDS, I WILL ASK THEM TO RESCHEDULE 26 TRAINING OR NOT SHOW UP TO DRILL AND THEY TYPICALLY SAY 27 THAT'S OKAY AND THEN I'LL DO THAT ANOTHER TIME. Q SO IF YOUR CUSTODY WERE TO BE INCREASED WITH 28

1	MADELYN, WOULD YOUR MILITARY TRAININGS REMAINING FLEXIBLE?
2	A YES.
3	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MS. AUSTIN USES
4	NANNIES?
5	A YES. SHE'S GOT MULTIPLE. THERE'S TWO NANNIES.
6	Q DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY NANNIES SHE HAS NOW?
7	A TWO.
8	Q TWO NANNIES NOW?
9	A YES.
10	Q AND DOES MADELYN ALSO GO TO MONTESSORI CHILD
11	CARE?
12	A YES. FULL-TIME MONTESSORI FIVE DAYS A WEEK.
13	Q ABOUT WHAT HOURS DOES MADELYN STAY AT
14	MONTESSORI?
15	A THEY'RE TYPICALLY 8:30 TO 5:30, 6:00.
16	Q SO DO YOU THINK THAT YOUR MILITARY SCHEDULE
17	WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM SPENDING TIME WITH MADELYN IF YOU
18	I'M SORRY. I'M GOING TO REPHRASE.
19	IF YOU HAD MORE CUSTODY WITH MADELYN AND YOU HAD
20	TO ENGAGE IN MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS THAT YOU SAID LAST A
21	WEEKEND DIDN'T YOU SAY ONE WEEKEND?
22	A NOT DEPLOYMENT. DEPLOYMENT IS DIFFERENT WHEN
23	YOU GO OVERSEAS. THIS IS JUST A WEEKEND TRAINING EVENT.
24	Q AND YOU HAVE TO DO THAT?
25	A THERE ARE CERTAIN WEEKENDS THAT I ONCE A
26	MONTH THEY TYPICALLY SCHEDULE. SOMETIMES THEY PUSH IT TO
27	MAKE IT A FOUR-DAY WEEKEND IN ONE MONTH, WHILE ANOTHER MONTH
28	YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO TWO DAYS.

1 0 SO YOU WOULD BE COMFORTABLE WITH BEING ABLE TO 2 USE CHILD CARE AND NANNIES IF YOU HAD TO GO AWAY FOR THE 3 WEEKEND? I'M NOT -- I WOULD RATHER STAY. MY PRIMARY 4 А 5 FOCUS WOULD BE TO NOT GO TO MILITARY TRAINING AND STAY HERE 6 AND SPEND THAT TIME WITH MADELYN. 7 Q IF YOU HAD TO? IF I HAD TO, FOR EXAMPLE, I HAD MY MOM AND STEP 8 А 9 DAD THROUGHOUT DURING MY LAST DRILL AND WE TIMED IT TO WHERE 10 THEY WERE HERE FOR THE DRILL. I STILL GOT OUT OF ONE OF THE DAYS OF THE DRILL, BUT THEY WERE THERE TO CARE FOR HER. SO 11 IT WAS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO LET THEM SPEND SOME TIME 12 13 WITH HER. 14 0 WOULD YOU CONSIDER LETTING MS. AUSTIN HAVE A 15 WEEKEND -- IF YOU HAD TO BE IN THE MILITARY, WOULD YOU CONSIDER GIVING HER THAT EXTRA TIME IF SHE WERE AVAILABLE? 16 17 A I HAVE NO OBJECTIONS TO THAT. I APPRECIATE WHEN GRACE IS ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF MADELYN WHEN I'M GOING TO 18 19 MILITARY TRAINING. I ASKED FOR MAKEUP TIME AND THOSE WERE 20 ALL DENIED. 21 0 DO YOU EVER ASK FOR ADDITIONAL TIME ABOVE THE 22 TIME THAT YOU'RE ALLOTTED WHICH IS EVERY OTHER WEEKEND AND 23 WEDNESDAYS? 24 A YES. SO I OFFERED -- I WANT TO SPEND AS MUCH 25 TIME AS I POSSIBLY CAN WITH MADELYN AND I MADE NUMEROUS 26 ATTEMPTS TO OFFER GRACE TO KEEP HER AN EXTRA NIGHT. I 27 UNDERSTAND GRACE'S RESPONSE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE STICK TO 28 THE ORDER. SHE MADE THAT VERY CLEAR, BUT I ALSO OFFERED IF

I COULD TAKE HER TO DAYCARE IF SHE'S GOT TO WORK.

2 WE HAD TWO INSTANCES WHERE SCHOOL HAD COVID; SO THEY SHUT DOWN THE SCHOOL FOR TWO WEEK -- OR I'M SORRY, A 3 WEEK AT A TIME. AND I FOUND OUT THROUGH THE MESSAGING APP 4 5 THAT SCHOOL -- THEY FOUND A POSITIVE CASE; THEY SHUT THE 6 SCHOOL DOWN. THE FOLLOWING WEEK MADELYN WAS GOING TO BE IN 7 DAYCARE; SO I OFFERED OR SENT GRACE A TALKING PARENTS MESSAGE THAT I'M AVAILABLE. I'M WORKING FROM HOME. I CAN 8 TAKE CARE OF MADELYN. LET'S WORK SOMETHING OUT. 9

10 AND GRACE -- HER RESPONSE THREE OR FOUR DAYS 11 LATER SHE TELLS ME THAT I ALREADY HAVE A NANNY. DON'T WORRY 12 ABOUT IT. THINGS ARE FINE. BUT THAT'S BEEN TWO WEEKS I'VE 13 OFFERED FOR THAT.

14 THERE WAS A DENTAL APPOINTMENT -- OR NOT A 15 DENTAL APPOINTMENT. IT WAS A -- MADELYN HAD A TOOTHACHE; 16 SHE NEEDED TO GO TO BEVERLY HILLS FOR AN APPOINTMENT AND I 17 OFFERED TO TAKE HER TO THAT APPOINTMENT AND GRACE SAYS --HER RESPONSE WAS SHE DIDN'T NEED ME. OTHER TIMES I ALWAYS 18 19 PUT THE OFFER OUT THERE, IF THERE'S AN ISSUE WITH THE NANNY TIME, I'M ALWAYS ABLE TO HELP OUT. I'M REALLY NOT DOING 20 21 ANYTHING ELSE.

OTHER THAN I HAVE A PRESCHEDULED FLIGHT, A
TRAINING FLIGHT AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT I REALLY CAN'T
GIVE UP. BUT MY FULL-TIME JOB, THEY ARE VERY, VERY
FLEXIBLE, AND IF I NEED TO TAKE TIME OFF FOR MADELYN, A LOT
OF TIMES I DON'T EVEN NEED TO ASK. THEY JUST TRUST ME I'M
GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

28

1

Q DO YOU EVER ATTEND MADELYN'S MEDICAL

APPOINTMENTS? ARE YOU ALLOWED?

1

2

3

4

5

22

A SO THE FIRST ALLERGY APPOINTMENT, THAT WAS KIND OF A SHOCK. WE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT THAT, BUT THAT WAS --YES, SO I ATTEND THE ALLERGY -- I'VE BEEN TO ALLERGY APPOINTMENTS.

6 THE WAY I'M NOTIFIED ABOUT THESE APPOINTMENTS --7 GRACE DOES NOT CONFER WITH ME. SHE DOESN'T ASK IF THIS DAY 8 OR TIME IS OKAY. SHE JUST PROVIDES IN HER MONTHLY UPDATE 9 AND THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS TIMES WHEREIN THE UPDATE 10 WILL -- SHE'LL SEND IT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MONTH. THE 11 APPOINTMENT MAY BE ONE OR TWO DAYS LATER AND THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS I CAN'T REALLY RESCHEDULE, BUT I'VE BEEN ABLE 12 TO MAKE ALL THE ALLERGY APPOINTMENTS AND WHICH WERE MORE 13 14 OFTEN. BUT IT WAS THE PEDIATRIC APPOINTMENTS I HAVEN'T BEEN 15 ABLE TO MAKE. I KNOW THOSE ARE KIND OF FEW AND FAR BETWEEN. AND THEN THE DERMATOLOGY APPOINTMENT I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO 16 17 MAKE ANY OF THOSE.

Q SO IF YOU HAD CUSTODY OF MADELYN DURING SOME
DAYS, DO YOU BELIEVE THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE
RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALLOWING HER TO GET MEDICAL CARE AND
TAKING HER TO HER APPOINTMENTS AND THINGS LIKE THAT?

A YES.

Q OKAY. BACK TO YOUR MILITARY TRAINING, DID YOU
HAVE A FIREARM FOR THE MILITARY PRIOR TO MAY 2019 DVRO?

25 A NOT FOR THE MILITARY. I HAD TWO PERSONAL GUNS26 THAT I ENDED UP TURNING IN.

27 Q AND YOU TURNED THEM IN?

28 A YES.

Q WERE YOU ORDERED TO COMPLETE ANY SORT OF
 PARENTING CLASSES IN EITHER THE NEW YORK RESTRAINING ORDER
 OR CALIFORNIA RESTRAINING ORDER?

JUST CALIFORNIA RESTRAINING ORDER I WAS TOLD TO 4 А GO TO A PARENTING CLASS. MY LAWYER HAD PROVIDED ME A LIST 5 6 OF COURSES THAT WERE COURT-APPROVED. HE SENT THAT TO ME IN 7 AN EMAIL. I FOUND ONE OF THE CLASSES THAT I THOUGHT APPLIED OR JUST THE CLOSEST ONE. THEY WEREN'T REALLY -- THEY WERE 8 9 PRETTY VAGUE. I TOOK THE CLASS, SUBMITTED THAT CERTIFICATE 10 TO MR. MEYER IN THE EMAIL WHICH HE GOT, AND HE SAID HE RECEIVED IT, SUBMITTED IT TO COURT. I TOOK THAT COURSE 11 BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OR THE REQUIRED DATE. 12

13 AND THEN JUST RECENTLY LOOKING OVER THE ORDER, I 14 MIGHT HAVE TAKEN THE WRONG CLASS, BUT I ALSO TOOK ANOTHER 15 PARENTING CLASS, THE CLOSEST THING I COULD FIND TO THE ORIGINAL MAY 2019 ORDER. SO I TOOK THAT CLASS. IT'S A 16 17 THREE-WEEK PARENT -- SINGLE PARENT COURSE -- PARENTING FOR 18 SINGLES AND IT WAS A THREE-WEEK COURSE THROUGH -- I THINK IT 19 WAS THROUGH ZOOM. IT WAS A REALLY GOOD COURSE TALKING ABOUT 20 ALL THINGS -- ALL THE ISSUES YOU HAVE AS A SINGLE PARENT WITH KIDS, YOUNG INFANTS ALL THE WAY UP TO TEENAGERS. 21

22 Q AND WERE YOU ORDERED TO COMPLETE A BATTERER'S
23 INTERVENTION PROGRAM?
24 A NO.

Q WHAT ABOUT DRUG OR ALCOHOL SUBSTANCE ABUSE? A NO.

27 Q HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ISSUES WITH DRUG OR
28 ALCOHOL SUBSTANCE ABUSE?

25

26

1 А NO. 2 Q HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME? 3 NO. А OTHER THAN TRAFFIC? 4 0 5 А NO. 6 Q HAVE YOU EVER BEEN -- HAS ANYONE EVER ACCUSED 7 YOU OF A CRIME OR CALLED THE POLICE ON YOU? 8 A GRACE HAS. 9 OTHER THAN GRACE? Q 10 OH, YES. THERE IS A WITNESS OR SOMEONE CALLED А 11 THE COPS ON GRACE FOR PUNCHING ME WHILE I WAS PULLING INTO A PARKING LOT IN OCTOBER OF 2012 AT PUBLIX PARKING LOT, WHOLE 12 FOODS PARKING LOT IN CHAPEL HILL. 13 14 Q DID THEY COME TO YOUR HOUSE? 15 A I'M SORRY. 16 Q THE POLICE? 17 MR. MEYER: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 18 THE COURT: WHAT'S THE RELEVANCE? IT'S 2012. 19 MS. MACKAY: YEAH. I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT WAS GOING 20 TO BE THE ANSWER, BUT I'M JUST -- I'M GETTING TO THE POINT. THE POINT IS THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE A HISTORY WITH THE POLICE. 21 22 THE COURT: OKAY. BY MS. MACKAY: 23 24 Q SO WHEN GRACE CALLED THE POLICE ON YOU, WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME? 25 26 MR. MEYER: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 27 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 28 THE RESPONDENT: THAT WAS JULY FIFTH LAST YEAR. JULY

1	FIFTH.
2	BY MS. MACKAY:
3	Q JULY 5TH, 2021.
4	A YES. MONDAY MORNING, YES.
5	Q SO THAT WAS ABOUT A MONTH PRIOR TO THE RENEWAL?
6	A YES.
7	Q WHY? WHAT DID YOU DO?
8	A THERE WAS A DISAGREEMENT IN OUR INTERPRETATION
9	OF CUSTODY AND GRACE SHE I BELIEVE SHE WAS READING THE
10	ORDER OUT OF CONTEXT. I HAD ATTEMPTED TO CONFIRM TO AVOID
11	ANY ISSUES FOR PICKUP/DROP-OFF BECAUSE THERE WERE ISSUES
12	PRIOR, AND SHE TOLD ME SHE DID NOT AGREE WITH THAT AND THAT
13	I ONLY HAD MADELYN FROM FRIDAY 2:30 TO MONDAY AT
14	9:00 O'CLOCK. BUT THE ORDER I FEEL LIKE IT CLEARLY STATES
15	IT SHOULD BEING 4:00 P.M. BECAUSE THAT HOLIDAY IS TIED TO
16	THE WEEKEND. AND THAT WAS THE VERY SPECIFIC ORDER THAT IF
17	IT'S TIDE TO THE WEEKEND, IT'S AS NORMAL; SO IT'S GOING TO
18	BE MONDAY DROP-OFF. IF IT'S A THURSDAY OR FRIDAY HOLIDAY,
19	IT WOULD BE A THURSDAY PICKUP.
20	Q JUST SO WE UNDERSTAND WITHOUT REGARD TO WHAT
21	WE THINK THE ORDER IS, WHAT WAS THE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN WHAT
22	TIME YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DROP OFF AND WHAT TIME
23	GRACE THOUGHT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DROP OFF?
24	A GRACE THOUGHT I WAS SUPPOSED TO DROP MADELYN OFF
25	OR EXCHANGE AT 9:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. I WAS UNDER THE
26	IMPRESSION IT WAS 4:00 P.M. AND I HAD MESSAGED GRACE ON
27	FRIDAY I THINK FRIDAY ABOUT THIS. I DIDN'T GET A
28	RESPONSE BACK. AND MONDAY SHE TEXT ME, MESSAGES ME SAYING

1 WHERE AM I. SHE'S BEEN WAITING THERE AND THEN WE HAD A 2 LITTLE BACK AND FORTH ABOUT THE DATE. 3 I SENT HER A COPY OF THE COURT ORDER AND HIGHLIGHTED WHICH SPECIFIC SPOT SHE SHOULD HAVE BEEN 4 REFERENCING, AND 11:00 O'CLOCK I GOT A KNOCK AT THE DOOR 5 6 FROM THE PASADENA POLICE. I SHOWED THEM THE ORDER AND I HAD 7 THE OPTION TO BRING -- TO EXCHANGE -- ONCE THEY READ THE 8 ORDER, THEY SAID, WELL, YOU CAN DO WHATEVER. YOU WANT TO DO 9 A 4:00 O'CLOCK EXCHANGE -- I KEPT ASKING. I HAVE NO IDEA. 10 I HAD PLANS LATER THAT EVENING AND I'VE BEEN ASKING GRACE CAN SHE DO THE 4:00 O'CLOCK EXCHANGE; I NEVER GOT A 11 12 RESPONSE. 13 AND THEN THAT OFFICER JUST ASKED ME, SAID, SO WE 14 DON'T HAVE TO COME BACK HERE AGAIN OR MEET HERE AT 4:00 15 O'CLOCK, CAN YOU JUST EXCHANGE HER NOW? MOM IS ALREADY HERE 16 AND I SAID FINE. MADELYN IS CRYING. THAT WAS A VERY 17 STRESSFUL TIME. O SO AT BETWEEN 9:00 A.M. AND 4:00 P.M. IS IT YOUR 18 19 UNDERSTANDING THAT GRACE KNEW THAT MADELYN WAS WITH YOU? 20 А YES. DID GRACE EXPRESS ANY CONCERN ABOUT WHETHER SHE 21 0 22 THOUGHT THAT MAYBE MADELYN'S HEALTH OR SAFETY WAS AT RISK? 23 А WE HAD AN ISSUE THAT WEEKEND WHERE MADELYN, WHEN 24 I PICKED HER UP ON FRIDAY, SHE HAD A RUNNY NOSE, SOME 25 CONGESTION; SO I LET GRACE KNOW THAT MADELYN HAS A RUNNING 26 NOSE. ANYTHING I SHOULD KNOW ABOUT. GRACE TOLD ME NO, BUT 27 SHE DEMANDED THAT I GET A COVID TEST FOR MADELYN. SO I SAID 28 OKAY.

1 I WENT AND SCHEDULED -- I HAD AN APPOINTMENT FOR 2 SUNDAY IN THE EVENING. I'M SORRY. MONDAY. THE EARLIEST 3 ONE I COULD GET WAS A MONDAY EVENING. I THINK I KNOW -- I THINK THAT ANSWERS THE 4 0 QUESTION. SO I MEANT LIKE HEALTH AND SAFETY ALONG THE LINES 5 6 OF DID SHE THINK THAT MAYBE YOU WOULD HAVE GOTTEN IN A CAR 7 ACCIDENT OR KIDNAPPING THE CHILD, SOMETHING --MR. MEYER: OBJECTION. LEADING. 8 9 MS. MACKAY: -- CONCERNING? 10 MR. MEYER: CALLS FOR SPECULATION. LACK OF 11 FOUNDATION. 12 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 13 THE RESPONDENT: THERE WAS NO CONCERN ABOUT THAT. SHE 14 JUST WANTED TO TAKE -- WITHOUT EVEN CHECKING MY TALKING 15 PARENTS MESSAGES ABOUT WHETHER I GOT MADELYN A COVID TEST OR 16 NOT. SHE JUST SAID MADELYN NEEDS TO BE IN DAYCARE AND IT'S 17 LIKE IT WAS AFFECTING HER WORK AND --18 BY MS. MACKAY: 19 O DROP OFF THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO 20 HAVE MADELYN UNTIL 4:00 P.M. AND GRACE THOUGHT IT WAS 9:00 21 A.M.? 22 А YES. I DON'T NEED TO KNOW WHO WAS RIGHT ON THAT, BUT 23 0 24 DID GRACE TELL YOU THAT THE REASON WHY YOU NEEDED TO PROVIDE 25 MADELYN AT 9:00 A.M. IS SO THAT GRACE CAN TAKE MADELYN TO 26 DAYCARE? 27 А YES. 28 OKAY. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT DAYCARE A LITTLE BIT. 0

1	SO YOU ALREADY SAID THAT MADELYN ATTENDS MONTESSORI IN SANTA
2	CLARITA.
3	A YES.
4	Q WHEN YOU MOVED TO CALIFORNIA FROM NEW YORK, WAS
5	MADELYN ALREADY REGISTERED IN THE SANTA CLARITA MONTESSORI?
6	A CORRECT. YES, SHE WAS.
7	Q LOCATION ASIDE, DO YOU FEEL LIKE MONTESSORI IS A
8	GOOD PROGRAM FOR MADELYN?
9	A THE MONTESSORI PROGRAMS ARE GREAT. THE ONE WE
0	LOOKED AT IN ROCHESTER WAS A GREAT PROGRAM. WE JUST
.1	COULDN'T GET HER IN THAT PROGRAM. THE PROGRAMS DOWN IN SAN
2	MARINO, PASADENA ARE EXCELLENT, VERY SIMILAR IN CURRICULUM.
3	BUT THERE THE ISSUE OF THE DISTANCE.
4	Q SO YOU'RE HAPPY WITH THE CURRICULUM?
5	A YES, VERY HAPPEN.
6	Q THE MONTESSORI IN GRACE'S NEIGHBORHOOD IN SAN
_7	MARINO, DID YOU REGISTER MADELYN IN THAT MONTESSORI?
8	A NEVER. I ONLY PUT A DEPOSIT DOWN.
9	Q DID YOU PUT HER ON THE WAIT LIST?
20	A SHE WAS ON THE WAIT LIST.
21	Q DO YOU THINK THAT SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO START
22	MONTESSORI THE NEXT OPENING?
23	A SHE HAD AN OPENING LAST SUMMER. AND PARINA, THE
24	DIRECTOR, SHE HAD AN OPENING LAST SUMMER TO START JULY 6 FOR
25	THE SUMMER PROGRAM AND SHE WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO STAY IN
26	THE PROGRAM. AND THEN RIGHT NOW SHE'S HOLDING ME SHE HAS
27	TECHNICALLY SIXTH PLACE IN LINE, BUT AS SOON AS I TELL HER I
28	HAVE THE ABILITY TO MOVE HER INTO MONTESSORI, SHE WILL GET
27	TECHNICALLY SIXTH PLACE IN LINE, BUT AS SOON AS I TELL H

1 2	MADELYN IN THERE, AND THE NEXT FEASIBLE START DATE IS AROUND JULY FIFTH, SIXTH TIME FRAME THIS YEAR, THIS SUMMER. Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHEN MADELYN SHOULD REGISTER FOR
0	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHEN MADELYN SHOULD REGISTER FOR
3	
4	KINDERGARTEN? SHE'S FIVE YEARS OLD; RIGHT?
5	A SHE SHOULD BE REGISTERING, I WOULD ASSUME, SOME
6	TIME THIS SUMMER. I ASKED GRACE FOR SOME KIND OF, HEY,
7	LET'S TALK ABOUT KINDERGARTEN; WHAT'S THE PLAN? AND NO
8	RESPONSE.
9	Q HAVE YOU CONSIDERED SENDING MADELYN TO THE
10	PUBLIC SCHOOL IN GRACE'S NEIGHBORHOOD?
11	A I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE BEST OPTION FOR HER.
12	SAN MARINO HAS A VERY GOOD SCHOOL; FROM WHAT I'VE BEEN TOLD
13	SOME OF THE BEST IN THE STATE, IN THE L.A. AREA. MINUS
14	THERE ARE PROBABLY SOME PRIVATE SCHOOLS OUT THERE, BUT THE
15	TWO PUBLIC SCHOOLS SHE'S ABLE TO GO TO, THEY'RE EXCELLENT.
16	Q BUT YOU HAVE ASKED GRACE?
17	A YES.
18	Q AND NO RESPONSE AT ALL?
19	A IT DIVERTS INTO SOMETHING ELSE, BUT IT DOESN'T
20	ANSWER THE QUESTION OR DOESN'T WORK WITH ME TO LET'S TRY TO
21	FIGURE OUT A PLAN.
22	Q SO WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MADELYN IS GOING
23	TO GO TO KINDERGARTEN THIS UPCOMING AUGUST?
24	A I DON'T KNOW.
25	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MADELYN WENT TO THIS
26	MONTESSORI IN SAN MARINO, WHETHER SHE WOULD BE IN THE SAME
27	AREA AS WHERE YOU WOULD LIKE HER TO GO TO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?
28	A WITHIN A COUPLE-MILE RADIUS. ALL THE SAME KIDS
L	

1 WOULD BE GOING TO THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, YES. 2 O AND YOU SAID THAT YOU NOW TAKE MADELYN ON PLAY DATES WITH HER FRIENDS. WHERE ARE HER FRIENDS? 3 SO A LOT OF THE FRIENDS -- OTHER THAN FAMILY 4 Α 5 FRIENDS, I KNOW GRACE NOW HAS SOME FRIENDS IN THE 6 NEIGHBORHOOD, BUT THE DAYCARE FRIENDS, HER BEST FRIEND RUBY. 7 WE WENT TO TWO BIRTHDAY PARTIES IN A ROW. I TOOK MADELYN UP THERE AND ARAYA (PHONETIC) AND MATTIAS AND WENT TO THEIR 8 9 PARTIES. I WAS TALKING TO SOME OF THE PARENTS AND I FOUND 10 OUT THAT RUBY THAT MADELYN ALWAYS TALKS ABOUT -- I MET HER 11 PARENTS AND WE EXCHANGED PHONE NUMBERS. EXCHANGED PHONE NUMBERS WITH A COUPLE OTHER PARENTS AND ENDED UP DOING QUITE 12 13 A BIT PLAY DATES WITH THEM. 14 Q AND WHERE DOES RUBY LIVE? 15 А SANTA CLARITA. 16 Q HAS RUBY'S PARENTS EVER DRIVEN RUBY TO YOU IN 17 PASADENA? 18 A ONLY ONE, AND THAT WAS FOR MADELYN'S BIRTHDAY. 19 I HAD A LITTLE PARTY FOR HER AT MY PLACE LAST YEAR. I'M 20 SORRY. THIS JANUARY. THE COURT: ARE YOU MOVING TO ANOTHER AREA OF 21 22 OUESTIONS. 23 MS. MACKAY: I THINK I HAVE ONE FINAL QUESTION. I'M 24 GOING TO CHECK. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. 26 BY MS. MACKAY: 27 Q WHY DO YOU WANT TO INCREASE CUSTODY? 28 I WANT -- THERE'S A LOT. I WANT TO BE MORE THAN А

JUST A WEEKEND DAD. I FEEL LIKE I HAVE A LOT TO OFFER 1 2 MADELYN. I WANT TO BE THERE TO JUST BOOST HER CONFIDENCE. 3 AND I JUST HAVE A LOT TO SHARE IN TERMS OF A LOT OF THINGS I KNOW THAT I WOULD LIKE INFORMATION, JUST WISDOM, I COULD 4 5 PASS TO MADELYN. 6 SAME AS GRACE. I WOULD LIKE -- I REALLY 7 APPRECIATE -- GRACE IS AMAZING IN TERMS OF HOW SMART SHE IS. I APPRECIATE THAT, BUT I ALSO FEEL LIKE I HAVE A LOT TO 8 9 OFFER AND I KNOW MADELYN WANTS TO BE WITH ME. 10 SHE MAKES THESE COMMENTS WHERE SOMETIMES I TELL 11 HER I LOVE HER. AND SHE'S SAYS, I DON'T LOVE YOU. SHE KNOWS I'LL PRETEND I'M ALL HURT. AND SHE SAYS HA, HA. I 12 LOVE YOU, BUT I ONLY LOVE YOU A LITTLE BIT. SO OKAY. 13 14 THAT'S CUTE. WHAT CAN I DO TO MAKE YOU LOVE ME MORE? AND 15 SHE TELLS ME, I JUST WANT TO -- SHE WANTS TO SPEND MORE TIME. IT'S BEEN SHE -- SAYS LITTLE THINGS LIKE THAT AND 16 17 THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS THAT SHE SAYS, BUT THAT KIND OF 18 TUGGED AT MY HEART WHEN I'M TRYING TO -- I COULD FEEL IT. 19 SHE'S OLD ENOUGH. SHE UNDERSTANDS THE DYNAMICS 20 BETWEEN US AND THERE'S THINGS THAT I HAVE ADDRESSED WITH GRACE ABOUT LIKE WHAT'S BEING SAID TO MADELYN, AND I JUST 21 22 WANT THE BEST FOR MADELYN AND JUST TO BE THERE FOR HER AND 23 ALSO DEVELOP A RELATIONSHIP WITH MY GIRLFRIEND. SHE LOVES 24 HER TO DEATH AND THEY'RE VERY, VERY CLOSE AND WE JUST DON'T 25 HAVE ENOUGH TIME WITH MADELYN. DO YOU SEE THIS RELATIONSHIP WITH YOUR 26 Q 27 GIRLFRIEND GETTING SERIOUS? 28 IT IS. IT IS ALREADY SERIOUS. WE'RE TALKING А

1	NEXT STEP MOVING IN TOGETHER.
2	MS. MACKAY: OKAY. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
3	THE COURT: OKAY. THIS WOULD BE A GOOD STOPPING
4	PLACE.
5	SEE EVERYBODY TOMORROW AT 1:30.
6	MR. MEYER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
8	
9	(WHEREUPON THE RECESS WAS TAKEN
10	UNTIL FRIDAY, APRIL 22, 2022, AT
11	1:30 P.M. FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT VEK HON. MARILYN MORDETZKY, JUDGE 4 5 AUSTIN, GRACE, 6 PETITIONER, 7 -VS-NO. 19VER000438 8 AUSTIN, DALLAS, 9 RESPONDENT.) 10 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) 12 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) 13 14 15 I, LUCY I. MILIVOJEVIC, CSR #11496, OFFICIAL 16 COURT REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF 17 CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY 18 THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 72 COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, 19 AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD ON APRIL 21, 20 2022, IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE. 21 22 DATED THIS 31ST DAY OF OCTOBER, 2022. 23 24 25 LUCY I. MILIVOJEVIC 26 27 LUCY I. MILIVOJEVIC, CSR #11496 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 28